Proceedings of ICFTBA 2026 Symposium: Strategic Management & Business Analytics: Data-Driven Performance Models
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2026.LD39195

The Impact of Product Returns on E-Commerce Supply Chains

Ming Zhu

Department of Economics, Denison University, Granville, USA
zhuming20262026@163.com

Abstract. The rapid growth of e-commerce has led to a greater volume and complexity of product returns, making effective return management a key factor in supply chain performance. This article examines the impact of product returns on e-commerce supply chains and explores strategies firms can adopt to reduce their adverse effects. Based on a review of academic research and industry evidence, the analysis focuses on four main consequences of returns: higher operational costs, difficulties in inventory management, effects on customer satisfaction, and environmental impacts. The review shows that product returns increase demands for transportation, labor, inspection, warehousing, and processing, while also introducing uncertainty regarding when and in what condition products will re-enter inventory. At the same time, easy and reliable return procedures can enhance customer trust and loyalty, creating a trade-off between customer service and operating efficiency. The review suggests that companies can improve return management by providing more accurate product information, developing stronger reverse logistics systems, using technologies such as artificial intelligence and data analytics, and designing return policies that balance flexibility with cost control. These findings indicate that returns should be viewed not just as a cost issue but as a strategic supply chain function. Effective return management can therefore contribute to supply chain efficiency, profitability, sustainability, and the overall customer experience.

Keywords: Product Returns, E-commerce Supply Chain, Reverse Logistics, Inventory Management, Return Management

1. Introduction

E-commerce has altered the way in which consumers, retailers, and supply chains interact. Today, customers can compare a wide range of products, purchase goods from almost anywhere, and have their orders delivered quickly without visiting a physical shop. However, the same features that make online shopping convenient also create operational challenges, because customers often make purchasing decisions without physically examining, trying on, or testing products. As a result, an item may be returned because of size or fit issues, differences between product expectations and actual appearance or quality, damage, order errors, or changes of mind.

For e-commerce businesses, product returns represent more than the reversal of a completed sale. A returned item may need to be transported from the customer, received at a warehouse or return

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2026.LD39195

center, inspected, sorted, repackaged, repaired, restocked, liquidated, recycled, or disposed of. This creates a reverse flow of products that interacts with the standard forward supply chain. As return volumes increase, reverse logistics therefore becomes an important component of e-commerce operations [1, 2].

This article addresses two questions: What effect do product returns have on the efficiency and operating costs of e-commerce supply chains? And what strategies might businesses adopt to improve return management and reduce these effects? The paper addresses these questions through a review of academic research and industry evidence. The analysis groups the impacts of product returns into four aspects: operational costs, inventory management, customer experience, and environmental impacts, and then assesses practical methods for reducing avoidable returns and managing unavoidable ones more effectively.

2. Literature review

2.1. An overview of e-commerce supply chains

An e-commerce supply chain involves the range of activities needed to move products, information, and payments from suppliers to online sellers and finally to customers. Key functions include sourcing, inventory planning, warehousing, order fulfillment, transportation, last-mile delivery, customer service, and returns management. Unlike traditional retail, e-commerce usually places greater reliance on individual order fulfillment and parcel delivery. Since customers do not see the physical product before buying, information uncertainty can be greater, making product descriptions, images, reviews, and size information particularly important.

A second difference is that e-commerce supply chains have to handle substantial traffic in the opposite direction. In traditional stores, an unwanted item can often be examined and returned directly at a service counter, whereas online retailers may need to coordinate return authorization, collection or drop-off, transportation, consolidation, and centralized inspection. As a result, reverse flows include more stages and are subject to greater uncertainty than forward order fulfillment.

2.2. Product returns and reverse logistics

Reverse logistics involves the planning and management of products as they move from customers or downstream sites back to sellers, manufacturers, recovery facilities, or disposal routes. Rogers and Tibben-Lembke were among the early scholars to systematically examine reverse logistics as a distinct management issue, and subsequent studies have linked it to closed-loop supply chains and value recovery [1, 3, 4]. It is especially important in e-commerce because returned products differ in both condition and value: some can be placed back into saleable inventory immediately, while others require inspection, refurbishment, discounting, recycling, or disposal.

Frequent reasons for e-commerce returns include incorrect size or fit, products not matching their online appearance, shipping damage, defective items, order errors, and changes in customer preference. While some returns are inevitable, others can be reduced by improving the information and decision support available before purchase. This distinction between avoidable and unavoidable returns is important because prevention and return processing require different managerial strategies.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2026.LD39195

2.3. Earlier research and the research gap

Previous research has demonstrated that returns management is linked to cost, profitability, customer service, and recovery value. Mollenkopf et al. examine the operational importance of handling Internet product returns, whereas Srivastava and Srivastava stress the importance of recovering value through well-designed reverse logistics decisions [5, 6]. Hjort and Lantz further show that return policies can affect profitability in fashion e-commerce, illustrating that customer-friendly policies may create both commercial advantages and additional costs [7].

The literature has also begun to associate reverse logistics with sustainability and circular supply chains. For example, Perera and Perera propose a circular reverse logistics framework for e-commerce returns [8]. Even though these studies offer valuable insights, the impacts of returns are often examined separately. The present paper therefore brings together cost, inventory, customer satisfaction, and environmental effects and connects them with a practical set of return-management strategies.

Product returns affect several connected parts of an e-commerce supply chain at the same time. A return creates a reverse product flow while also requiring refunds, inventory updates, and decisions about resale, refurbishment, recycling, or disposal. The following section therefore evaluates the effects of returns across four dimensions: operational cost, inventory management, customer satisfaction, and environmental impact.

3. Impact of product returns on e-commerce supply chains

Managing product returns is a balancing act because return volumes are often less predictable than forward orders. After holidays or major sales, return centers may experience sudden surges that create processing backlogs. Delays can reduce the value of seasonal products, fashion items, or technology goods, so managers must consider both the direct processing cost and the value lost while returned items wait.

3.1. Increased operational costs

The most direct consequence of product returns is higher operational costs. Every e-commerce sale involves picking, packing, shipping, and delivery, and a return adds another set of activities. The item must be transported back, received, inspected, classified, and connected to a refund or exchange. These activities require labor, systems, and warehouse space even when the product can eventually be returned to regular inventory.

Returns can also reduce merchandise value. Packaging may be damaged, seasonal demand may decline during processing, or an item may no longer qualify for resale as new. The firm may then need to discount, refurbish, liquidate, or dispose of the product. The total cost of a return therefore includes transportation, labor, processing, warehousing, and potential loss of product value rather than shipping cost alone.

3.2. Inventory management challenges

Returns complicate inventory planning because firms do not always know when a returned item will arrive or whether it will be suitable for resale. An item may first appear as sold and later re-enter the inventory pipeline after inspection. If a company underestimates returns, it may order replacement stock unnecessarily, if it overestimates them, it may face excess inventory.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2026.LD39195

The condition of returned items creates additional uncertainty. Two identical products may come back in very different conditions, so firms should not count them as saleable inventory before inspection. Timely information about expected arrivals and product condition is therefore essential for accurate restocking and purchasing decisions.

Returned items also occupy warehouse space while awaiting inspection and disposition. During return surges, processing areas can become congested and delay items that are ready to sell. Companies therefore need visibility into the location, condition, expected arrival, and likely disposition of returned inventory.

3.3. Effects on customer satisfaction

Return management also influences customer satisfaction. A simple process, clear tracking, and prompt refunds can preserve a positive customer impression even when the original purchase is unsuitable, while a slow or confusing process can create frustration. Firms should therefore evaluate returns using customer-service measures as well as internal cost measures.

Returns present a trade-off for managers: they are an operational cost but also an important part of the customer experience. When customers know that unsuitable products can be returned easily, they may feel more confident about purchasing online. The challenge is to provide reasonable convenience without encouraging excessive or abusive returns, thereby balancing customer service with operational efficiency.

3.4. Environmental impact

Product returns also have environmental consequences. Additional transportation creates emissions, while repeated packaging can increase cardboard, plastic, and other material waste. Products that cannot be resold may be liquidated, recycled, or disposed of, and disposal represents a loss of both the product and the resources used to manufacture and transport it.

From a sustainability perspective, reverse logistics can recover value from returned products rather than treating them only as waste. Companies should seek the highest reasonable recovery option through restocking, repair, refurbishment, reuse, or recycling. This approach is consistent with closed-loop and circular supply chain research, which treats reverse flows as an opportunity for value recovery [3, 8].

4. Strategies to improve return management

Product returns cannot be eliminated completely, so effective management must address both return prevention and the efficient handling of unavoidable returns. Companies can improve performance through better product information, stronger reverse logistics, appropriate use of technology, and well-designed return policies.

4.1. Improving product information

One of the most effective ways to manage returns is to prevent avoidable returns before they occur. Online customers depend on information rather than physical inspection, so inaccurate descriptions or unclear images can create a gap between expectations and the product received. Retailers can reduce this gap by providing detailed specifications, high-quality photographs and videos, consistent size guides, and useful customer reviews. For apparel, fit information is especially important.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2026.LD39195

Improved information can also reduce unnecessary ordering behavior in which customers purchase multiple alternatives because they are uncertain which option will work. Better pre-purchase information therefore supports both customer decision quality and supply chain efficiency.

Return-reason data can guide these improvements. If customers frequently return an item because its appearance differs from online images, the retailer can improve photographs or color information, if fit is the problem, clearer measurements and size comparisons may be more useful. In this way, return data becomes feedback for improving the product page.

4.2. Enhancing reverse logistics

Companies also need efficient processes for returns that cannot be prevented. Standardized return authorization, clear routing rules, rapid inspection, and predefined disposition decisions can shorten the time between customer return and value recovery. Automation can reduce manual processing, while third-party logistics providers may offer specialized transportation, consolidation, inspection, and refurbishment capabilities.

A key decision is how to recover the most value from each returned product. Items in good condition can be restocked, while others may require repackaging, repair, refurbishment, secondary-market resale, recycling, or disposal. Clear inspection and disposition rules can reduce delays and prevent firms from spending more on processing than they are likely to recover [8].

Speed is important because returned products can lose value while waiting. Faster processing increases the probability that an item can be resold during the relevant demand period. Reverse logistics design should therefore consider not only cost per return but also processing time and recovered value.

4.3. Using technology

Technology can improve both return prevention and reverse-flow management. Data analytics can identify products, customer segments, locations, or suppliers associated with unusually high return rates. Artificial intelligence can help predict return risk and support decisions such as product recommendations, inventory planning, and fraud detection. Recent research on forecasting e-commerce consumer returns reflects growing interest in predictive methods [9].

Tracking technologies such as RFID can improve visibility by helping firms identify the status and location of returned inventory. Better data integration also allows return information to reach planning, merchandising, customer service, and warehouse teams rather than remaining isolated in the returns function.

Analytics can support several stages of the return process. Historical data can identify products with high return risk, prediction models can estimate expected return volumes for labor and space planning, and tracking data can reduce the time required to locate and classify returned inventory. Return information is therefore not only a record of a reverse shipment but also a source of evidence about weaknesses in the forward supply chain.

4.4. Developing effective return policies

A well-designed return policy should be understandable, convenient, and financially sustainable. Companies can specify reasonable return windows, product-condition requirements, and exceptions for categories with high fraud or depreciation risk. They can also use data to identify repeated abuse while avoiding unnecessary friction for normal customers.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2026.LD39195

Return policies may also differ by product category or return reason. Products with strong resale value and low processing cost may support more flexible policies than products that depreciate quickly or cannot easily be resold after opening. Any differences, however, should remain simple and transparent so that customers can understand them.

The best policy depends on the product category and business model. A uniform policy may not be efficient when products differ greatly in value, seasonality, resale potential, or return probability. The broader principle is to balance customer satisfaction with profitability rather than maximizing either flexibility or restriction.

Taken together, these strategies show that the best response is not simply to make returns more difficult. Firms should reduce the information problems that create avoidable returns while building a reverse system capable of handling legitimate returns efficiently.

5. Conclusion

This paper examined how product returns affect e-commerce supply chains and what firms can do to manage them more effectively. The literature shows that returns increase transportation, labor, inspection, processing, and warehousing requirements while creating uncertainty about when products will re-enter inventory and whether they remain saleable. Returns also create environmental costs through additional transportation, packaging, and possible disposal. At the same time, a reliable return process can reduce customers' perceived purchase risk and strengthen trust. Therefore, the answer to the first research question is that product returns generally increase operating cost and complexity, but their customer-service value means that they cannot be evaluated only as a cost problem.

Regarding the second research question, effective return management requires both prevention and operational improvement. Accurate product information can reduce avoidable returns, while stronger reverse logistics, standardized disposition decisions, data analytics, artificial intelligence, tracking technologies, and balanced return policies can improve the handling of unavoidable returns. Firms should also use return data to identify recurring problems in product quality, sizing, descriptions, fulfillment, or supplier performance.

This study has several limitations. It is based mainly on published literature and industry-oriented evidence rather than original company-level data, so it cannot estimate the exact financial effect of returns for a specific retailer. In addition, return behavior differs across product categories, countries, and business models. Future research could use transaction data, surveys, or interviews to compare industries and firm sizes and to test whether prediction, RFID, automation, and other emerging technologies produce measurable reductions in return cost, processing time, waste, and customer dissatisfaction. Overall, e-commerce firms should treat reverse logistics as an integrated supply chain function that supports efficiency, value recovery, sustainability, and customer experience.

References

[1] Rogers, D. S., & Tibben-Lembke, R. S. (1999). *Going backwards: Reverse logistics trends and practices*. Reverse Logistics Executive Council.

[2] Agrawal, S., Singh, R. K., & Murtaza, Q. (2015). A literature review and perspectives in reverse logistics. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 97*, 76–92. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2015.02.009

[3] Guide, V. D. R., Jr., & Van Wassenhove, L. N. (2009). The evolution of closed-loop supply chain research. *Operations Research, 57*(1), 10–18. https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.1080.0628

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2026.LD39195

[4] Govindan, K., Soleimani, H., & Kannan, D. (2015). Reverse logistics and closed-loop supply chain: A comprehensive review to explore the future. *European Journal of Operational Research, 240*(3), 603–626. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2014.07.012

[5] Mollenkopf, D. A., Rabinovich, E., Laseter, T. M., & Boyer, K. K. (2007). Managing Internet product returns: A focus on effective service operations. *Decision Sciences, 38*(2), 215–250. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.2007.00157.x

[6] Srivastava, S. K., & Srivastava, R. K. (2006). Managing product returns for reverse logistics. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 36*(7), 524–546. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030610684962

[7] Hjort, K., & Lantz, B. (2016). The impact of returns policies on profitability: A fashion e-commerce case. *Journal of Business Research, 69*(11), 4980–4985. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.064

[8] Perera, R., & Perera, H. N. (2022). A circular reverse logistics framework for handling e-commerce returns. *Cleaner Logistics and Supply Chain, 5*, 100080. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clscn.2022.100080

[9] Karl, D. (2025). Forecasting e-commerce consumer returns: A systematic literature review. *Management Review Quarterly, 75*, 1–56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11301-024-00436-x