

The Impact of Artificial Intelligence and Social Media Marketing on Consumer Behavior

Jixuan Cai

Shenghuazizhu Academy, Shanghai, China
caijixuankimi@szasc-edu.cn

Abstract. This paper explores the profound structural change of contemporary commercial ecosystems engendered by the technological combination of AI and Social Media Marketing (SMM). Combined with some novel theoretical concepts, such as the Stimulus-Organism-Response (S-O-R) theory and Customer Engagement (CE) theory, this study describes how hyper-personalized algorithms and electronic Word of Mouth (eWOM) affect consumer cognition and behaviour. This paper links this behavioural change to the financial outcomes, such as Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC) and Customer Lifetime Value (CLV). This paper shows that paid digital channels give a short burst of visibility, but an organic-dominant growth mode promises the CLV/CAC ratio to be far better than one at any cost. This paper ends with conclusions that ethical transparency and multi-channel attribution models are useful tools for organizations that want to achieve organic growth in an automated digital environment.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Social Media Marketing, Consumer Behavior, Electronic Word of Mouth, Customer Acquisition Cost

1. Introduction

The contemporary commercial and economic landscape has undergone a profound structural transformation, driven primarily by the rapid evolution and pervasive integration of digital technologies into daily human activity. Historically, the discipline of marketing relied on mass communication and broadcast media, utilizing television, print, and radio. These traditional methodologies offered limited interactivity and relied on broad, macro-level demographic assumptions to distribute corporate messaging. However, as global digital infrastructures matured and internet penetration democratized access to information, the marketing paradigm shifted unequivocally from macro-level broadcasting to micro-level, individualized engagement [1]. Today, the convergence of Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Social Media Marketing (SMM) has established a hyper-personalized, dynamic, and highly interactive digital ecosystem.

These advanced technologies are not only passive technologies that enable, but also shape consumer perceptions, shape brand perceptions, and constantly change consumer decisions by providing consumers with personalized stimuli that affect consumer cognitive and affective responses [2]. The importance of examining the AI and SMM boundary relationships rigorously lies in their transformative and once-in-a-century influence on consumer decision-making. At this

moment in the digital epoch, consumers do not merely passively react to unidirectional corporate messages. Rather, consumers exist in complex algorithmic worlds, which anticipate consumers' latent preferences, construct consumers' social lives, and influence consumers' purchasing choices in real time [2].

As AI's use in consumer platforms has shifted the nature of corporate competition in business towards a flip in advantage to companies with algorithmic intelligence inserted in their business and marketing systems [3]. Not only does the economy at stake for mastering this system get even bigger, but marketing execs face a climate of increasing organic acquisition costs and fierce competition in the paid channels [4]. Knowing how algorithmic stimulus and social reinforcement affect processing, and how those cognitive states translate into financial metrics such as customer acquisition cost (CAC) and customer lifetime value (CLV), is not an advantage in competition anymore; it is a strict operational need for sustainable business growth [5, 6].

This comprehensive research report offers an exhaustive analysis of how AI and SMM conclusively impact consumer behavior. On the basis of a systematic review of theories, scholarly academic evidence, and quantitative industry market measurements, this study decomposes and summarises the exact ways that the digital marketing science impacts consumer cognitive and emotional states, and, ultimately, behavioral action. The review moves across the logical spectrum from macro-environmental technology trends to the micro-level human psychological responses. First, this report captures fundamental conceptual definitions and psychological theories of digital consumerism. Second, it isolates the specific effects of AI, reviewing the role of AI in hyper-personalisation and the resulting ethical issues. Third, this report reviews the social media market setting, the ingredients of targeting, the power of Electronic Word of Mouth (eWOM), and demographics. Finally, this paper integrates these behavioral insights with strict financial measures and provide strategic discussions, practitioner insights, actionable recommendations, and directions for further research.

2. Core concepts and theoretical frameworks

To understand the multifaceted nature of the effect of modern digital strategies on consumer choices accurately, one first needs to have the right conceptual definition of these strategies and to take the next steps in analysis from such definitions, grounded in existing psychological and behavioural theories.

2.1. The conceptualization of artificial intelligence in marketing

Social media sites are digital applications that stem from the ideology and technology of Web 2.0 that allow "a trivial matter to the creation, exchange and sharing of user-generated content (UGC) on a huge network scale" [1]. SMM is an appropriate, strategic use of these social media sites that allows a company to engage with audiences, develop sustainable brand equity, and generate desired business outcomes [7]. SMM is a new dimension in marketing as it moves the commercial dialogue away from a corporate broadcast in a 'one-to-many' dimension to one of a multidirectional, equal conversation [1]. At the same time, the increasing integration of AI into digital marketing raises ethical concerns regarding consumer privacy, algorithmic transparency, bias, and the responsible use of personal data [8].

One of the more critical and highly influential applications of the social media ecosystem is eWOM. Academically, eWOM is viewed as any positive or negative comments made by potential, current, or former customers about a product, service, or company, which is made available to a

mass of people and/or organizations by the Internet [9]. Euphemistically, as digitized, permanent social proof, eWOM has enormous psychological weight. It is a heuristic shortcut for consumers; it substantially reduces the perceived risk of a purchase. It externally confirms the product reputation through the consensus of others or peers whose opinion is believed to be more credible than that of corporate messages because they are relatively objective and personal experience-based [10].

2.2. Social media marketing and electronic word of mouth (eWOM)

Traditional social media (SMM) are applications on the digital basis of ideology and technology of Web 2.0 that facilitate a seamless creation, sharing, and exchange of user-generated content (UGC) over global platforms [1]. SMM is the planned and strategic use of social media to influence the target audience, to develop brand equity, gain loyalty, and to steer (business) results. SMM is also a basic shift in marketing from a unidirectional corporate push dialogue to a multidirectional, equalizing dialogue [1].

Another key and highly influential derivative of the social media setting is eWOM. Academic definitions of eWOM cover any positive or negative statements expressed by potential, actual, and former customers about a product, service, or company and made available to a large mass of people and institutions on the internet. eWOM, as a digitized and immutable form of social proof in the way of traditional social proof, is exceedingly psychologically powerful. It is an intellectual shortcut for consumers and dramatically reduces the perceived uncertainty surrounding any purchase and provides external validation of product quality by the agreements of peers whose veracity (assessed to be more credible than corporate content because it is apparently objective, rather than organizationally driven and experiential) [10].

2.3. The Stimulus-Organism-Response (S-O-R) paradigm

Throughout this report, the principal theoretical lens used for interpreting digital consumer behaviour is the Stimulus-Organism-Response (S-O-R) model. The model was first devised in the context of environmental psychology and has since become a starting paradigm for understanding human-computer interactions and contemporary purchasing behaviour. The gist of the S-O-R model is that external environmental stimuli are cues that elicit internal psychological or emotional states in a respondent organism, which in turn give rise to observable and quantifiable behavioural responses. How this paradigm applies in the context of digital marketing is presented below in Table 1 [11].

Table 1. The Stimulus-Organism-Response (S-O-R) framework in digital marketing

S-O-R Component	Foundational Definition [11]	Application in the Digital Marketing Context
Stimulus (S)	External factors or environmental cues that trigger an individual's sensory or cognitive response.	AI customization algorithms, targeted social media advertisements, interactive user interfaces, personalized content feeds, and peer-generated eWOM.
Organism (O)	The internal processes—both psychological and physiological—that mediate between stimuli and responses.	The development of brand trust, the induction of a psychological flow state, emotional attachment, perceived value, and holistic brand experience.

Table 1. (continued)

Response (R)	The observable behavioral, affective, or cognitive reactions resulting from the interaction.	Actualized purchase intention, customer loyalty, green purchasing behavior, sustained digital engagement, or cart abandonment.
--------------	--	--

When consumers are engaged in current-day, algorithmically mediated digital marketing, AI algorithms and highly targeted social media content serve as extremely carefully calibrated external stimuli that stimulate consumers cognitively and emotionally. These inputs are internally processed by consumers—forming complex organismic outputs such as brand awareness, perceived ethicality, emotionality, or brand 'likeability'—which is the outcome of this internal processing, ultimately resulting in a response of decision-making, such as completing a transaction with a brand or sharing content with a personal network, for example [12].

2.4. Customer engagement theory

Complementing the structure of the S-O-R framework, Customer Engagement (CE) theory offers an insightful perspective on the depth and quality of the customer's relationship with a brand. CE was initially conceptualized and clearly defined in seminal service work, and CE is defined as a psychological state that arises by virtue of an interactive, co-creative customer experience with a focal agent or object in focal service relationships [13, 14]. CE is not a dimension—rather, it is characterized by a multidimensional blend of cognitive, emotional, and behavioral interactions [13]. CE theory shows that digital engagement is dynamic and iterative in nature; consumers are not passive buyers but also active brand value creators. Through social sharing, feedback loops, UGC, and participating in branded social communities, engaged consumers help create the brand's taste and positioning just as the corporation does [14].

3. The impact of artificial intelligence on consumers

The strategic use of AI in marketing operations fundamentally reorganized how firms locate, attract, engage with, and serve their customers. Being capable of processing huge volumes of unstructured data at a huge scale, AI enables a transition from reactive marketing methods grounded in historical inputs to highly proactive, predictive methods.

3.1. Hyper-personalization and predictive analytics as stimuli

Some of the most powerful functional advantages of AI in modern marketing are how they enable hyper-personalization on a massive scale. Machine Learning (ML) algorithms constantly mine huge collections of historical purchase data, granular web-tracking data, geospatial user behavior tracking data, and introspective social media activity data to generate detailed consumer profiles from multiple dimensions [7]. Such algorithmic profiles enable businesses to anticipate individual consumer needs with exceptional accuracy, delivering the right marketing message through the right digital channel, at the right time of chronological return of maximum psychological readiness [7]. Marketing analytics and very rich sentiment analysis can also make brands capable of predicting future behavior [7].

For instance, AI analytics can discover minute changes in the consumer's emotional sentiment toward a brand from how long a customer spends interacting with a social media post or the open rate of an email newsletter. If the AI algorithm system has predicted a good probability statistically

of customer churn, it can automatically create highly targeted customer retention triggers or highly tailored communications without human intervention. In quantitative evidence of those attributes, data on the state-of-the-art AI possibilities, a comprehensive AI strategy can reduce the average CAC of an organization by up to 47.3% across various industries, and at the same time increment its CLV by a factor of 4.2 [15]. AI cannot simply be regarded as an operational administrative tool, or simply a multiplier of marketing assets and intelligence.

3.2. AI and the transformation of the customer journey

When researchers view the impacts of AI strictly through the theoretical view of the S-O-R paradigm, AI marketing technology, such as dynamic pricing algorithms, conversational automated chatbots, and robust product personalization recommendations, becomes a powerful environmental stimulus that lasts or exists in some continuous state [16]. Indeed, there is much empirical research to show that these specific technology stimuli have direct and positive effects on the internal organismic states of individuals. Specifically, advanced AI personalization customization and smooth interaction technology promote the consumers' perceived brand experience and core brand trust [16].

For modern customers, when a desired behaviour is provided by an AI-driven digital tool in a seamless and precise manner, the cognitive effort needed for navigating the purchase process is significantly decreased. The decrease in friction, complemented by attractive digital interface design, as well as sufficient challenge in relation to user ability, leads to a state of flow – a state of being psychologically deeply involved, time distorted and emotionally attached to a digital tool or to a focal brand [12]. Once a behaviour is induced in an optimal organismic state, the behaviour is very predictable and commercially valuable: customers practice more impulse buying behaviour, achieve a larger average purchase magnitude and develop long-term, continuous repurchase intention [12].

Furthermore, AI has also proven extremely powerful in the creation of larger social goals, such as sustainable consumer behaviour. Using data to serve "ecosound" products and illustrate consumers' environmental impact content transparently, AI algorithms actively stimulate Green Purchasing Behaviour (GPB) [16]. A complex Structural Equation Modeling–Artificial Neural Network (SEM-ANN) study shows that brand preference and brand experience both serve as important psychological mediators. When an AI system fully builds trust with consumers through personalization and transparency, consumers are likely to purchase more eco-products and adopt eco-consumption behaviour. In this way, an AI-based corporate marketing activity would align with the overall goal of sustainability [16].

3.3. Ethical considerations: trust, bias, and algorithm aversion

Even though the deep functional and financial advantages described above hold great promise for AI deployment in the consumer market, moving AI into such areas brings a range of complex ethical and psychological issues that marketers need to handle carefully. The exact predictive abilities that enable smooth hyper-personalization also generate sharp and often widespread privacy concerns [8]. Consumers are often psychologically uncomfortable when an algorithm has an overly intimate or highly predictive understanding of their personal lives—a dynamic that could be named, in analogy to robotics, the "uncanny valley" of personalization, in which too much algorithmic familiarity is intolerable, rather than desirable. If a marketing stimulus goes from being helpful to surveillant, the organismic response rapidly moves from trust to deep skepticism.

Furthermore, the shocking growth of Generative AI represents existential threats to brand authenticity [2]. If customers believe that they are dealing with a fully artificial construct that has no human empathy, no moral wisdom, or capacity for true emotions, then it can give rise to a known psychological resistance phenomenon called 'algorithm aversion' [17]. Algorithm aversion is when users purposely resist, reject, or devalue algorithmic recommendations for human decisions, even when the suggestions of a specific algorithm are shown to be demonstrably and statistically superior [17]. Algorithm aversion points to the fact that the consumer attitude towards AI is not only about what is good or bad for utility; it is symbolic and emotional in nature [8]. In short, the future long-term success of AI in influencing positive consumer behaviour depends completely on the ethical design of the AI system and the deep psychological compatibility with consumer character traits [8].

Design transparency to overcome perceived risk and alleviate algorithm aversion. To avoid algorithm counter-resistance, companies need to foster transparent algorithmic structures. When consumers know how companies are using personal data and view the AI as a good, collaborative partner rather than a manipulative and data-harvesting force, cognitive resistance from inside is reduced [8]. Such transparency is a sine qua non for maintaining long-term engagement with digital goods, gaining customer loyalty, and avoiding regulatory backlash for a socially regulated technological context [2, 8].

4. The impact of social media marketing on consumers

AI is the analytical and predictive engine of modern marketing; social media is the interactive, communal ecosystem on which brand storylines are built, socially projected, and socially validated. SMM radically changes consumer behavior by dissolving the boundary between commercial advertisement and interpersonal, digital communication, linking branded content into the consumer's daily social reality in ways that decrease awareness of and subtle resistance to implied persuasion.

4.1. Targeting mechanisms and cognitive intent: search vs. social

For understanding the particular impact of SMM, it is necessary to contrast the underlying mechanics of SMM with other digital channels, the most prominent being Search Engine Marketing (SEM) and traditional Display advertising. These channels are based on different behavioural premises. Search advertising is purely intent based. It captures consumers who actively search for a particular solution or product and bid on relevant search keywords [18]. Because a user has a high initial intent, algorithmic matching of a search query to an ad is highly efficient in conversion [18].

Conversely, social media advertising and display network advertising are audience-based and context-based [18]. Social sites have unfathomably deep stores of demographic and psychographic data that enable marketers to target individuals according to their core identity, their relationship status, their subtle habits, their nuanced personal tastes, irrespective of present commercial intent [18]. The stark contrast in targeting workhouses is amply evident in aggregate user behavior and industry-level usage figures. Since social media users generally sit on feed activities, consuming and integrating them for a sense of entertainment or connectedness, as opposed to using social media to look forward to or complete a commercial activity, an explicit intent to click on an ad will naturally be lower than that of a user of a search engine. But low click-through propensity does not equate to lower commercial relevance, given that social media often provides exposure and consideration. CTR refers to click-through rate, CPC refers to cost per click, and CVR refers to conversion rate (See Table 2).

Table 2. Digital marketing benchmarks across industry sectors [18]

Industry Sector	Search Avg. CTR (2024)	Search Avg. CPC (2024)	Social Avg. CTR (Meta)	Social Avg. CPC (Meta)	Social Avg. CVR (Meta)
Apparel / Fashion	6.13%	\$3.39	1.24%	\$0.45	4.11%
Beauty & Personal Care	6.75%	\$3.56	1.16%	\$1.81	7.10%
B2B / Business Services	5.62%	\$5.37	0.78%	\$2.52	10.63%
Education & Instruction	6.21%	\$4.39	0.73%	\$1.06	13.58%
Finance & Insurance	7.71%	\$3.00	0.56%	\$3.77	9.09%
Health & Fitness	6.88%	\$4.71	1.01%	\$1.90	14.29%

Note: Data aggregated from cross-channel industry benchmarks (2024-2025).

The average Click-Through Rate (CTR) of a social media advertisement across all industries is about 0.90%, which is much lower than those of search advertisements, which routinely run well above 5.0% and 7.0% because they have high user intent [18]. This cross-industry average disguises a considerable level of variation at the industry level and requires industry-specific interpretation. Nevertheless, CTRs of social advertisements are much higher than those of standard display network banners, which are around 0.50%, on average [18]. Why social advertisements perform much better than standard display advertisement formats comes down to exact psychographic targeting ability. Social media algorithms leverage AI to analyze huge amounts of user browsing behaviour and guarantee that content presented to users is highly relevant to the user's latent desire and profile, increasing the probability of clicks even if it is a passive browsing scenario [18]. Moreover, as can be expected, CPC on social platforms is often a lot lower than search networks, allowing brands to purchase massive amounts of top-of-funnel traffic in a cheap way [18].

4.2. The centrality of ewWOM, influencer dynamics, and Generation Z

Within the social media ecosystems, the most robust of all behavioral and psychological drivers is eWOM. In online worlds in which the old corporate message is dismissed out of hand with natural skepticism and distrust, UGC and peer review function as invaluable heuristic shortcuts. Consumers rely on social signals to see how a product is, to detect if a brand is real, and to reduce the costs—both financial and social—for choosing to buy a particular product, the persuasive effect of eWOM being especially strong for high involvement products where risk perception is high [9, 10].

The systemic influence of eWOM is also especially strong and visible among Gen Z. Gen Z (the cohort that is matured entirely as digital natives) relies on social media not only as supplementary and supplemental communication channels, but also as search engines, news sources, vanguards of culture and lifestyle [19]. For Gen Z, being a consumer is highly performative; their consumer identity cannot be separated from a digital social value and communal attachment [19]. The prospect

of positive eWOM is especially potent among Gen Z when it is delivered organically and naturally by familiar micro-influencers, micro-bloggers on campus, or Key Opinion Leaders (KOLs); it elicits a powerfully addictive process of observational learning and social validation [10]. Of all the endorsement forms, influencers' influence carries heavy psychological weight in terms of endorsement credibility [10]. Influencers thus bridge the gap between abstract, faceless corporate entities and individual consumers; they provide a full-fledged parasocial relationship.

Parasocial relationship refers to one-sided emotional links, where audiences become familiar with and trust influencers without reciprocity. When an influencer endorses a product, consumers can psychologically transfer their trust in that specific influencer into the brand directly. Earlier empirical studies using Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modeling (PLS-SEM) essentially reaffirm that authentic, high-quality, and credible eWOM tends to reduce perceived purchase risk and is a direct, strong, and positive influence on final Purchase Intention (PI) [10].

4.3. Customer engagement as a behavioral mediator

Direct and instantaneous relationships between SMM activities and actual purchase intention are rarely present; instead, they are heavily mediated by the internal organismic state of CE [13]. Social media marketing activities (for example, interactive live streams, user questioning, meme marketing and social challenges in communities) are all designed to increase CE by inducing cognitive engagement, emotional involvement and behavioural engagement [13]. For instance, when a brand performs well in meme marketing, it uses the current cultural touchstone, irony and humour to get beyond the psychological defenses that most consumers routinely activate in reaction to commercial advertising. The academic sample and study measurement context do show a strong positive effect on consumer involvement ($\beta = 0.745$, $p < 0.05$).

However, this heightened engagement then eventually becomes a crucial psychological bridge that connects the initial marketing effort to final purchase intention ($\beta = 0.651$, $p < 0.05$) [20]. By actively creating an environment that enables consumers to help tell the brand's story (e.g., urge them to self-post, create UGC, or to participate in brand-sponsored campus writing contests), companies drive consumers from consumer observers into actively invested brand stakeholders [18].

4.4. Practical application: the "Star Path Ink" case study

These theoretical mechanisms of targeting, eWOM, and Customer Engagement can also be observed practically in formulating real-world business models. Consider a theoretical business case of "Star Ink Path", a hypothetical simulation research project designed and devised exclusively for academic purposes. It is a subscription-based SaaS and digital media venture actually going to market in the US market, i.e., pioneering an interactive creative-writing course, digital publishing community for Gen Z teenagers (aged 12 to 18), and so on [21]. In devising the digital marketing strategy, architects of Star Ink Path have to go into various channels' different economies and behaviours.

For "high-intent" users who actively search for queries related to earning money by writing novels, the brand spends a budget of \$10,000 per month on SEM. Based on Arts & Entertainment (average) value of a \$1.55 CPC and 3.03% conversion rate, this generates around 195 new paying users at a CAC of \$51.16 [21]. However, to grow fast and acquire brand equity, the platform heavily leverages SMM as its main customer acquisition channel. By targeting parents (decision makers) and teens (product consumers) and targeting highly engaging content such as campus micro-drama teasers, writing prompts, and write-influencer games on TikTok and Instagram, deep Customer Engagement is elicited [21]. SMM delivers a much lower CPC of \$0.35. For a \$41,500 per month

budget with a 1.8% conversion rate, the brand would attract approximately 2,150 customers at a highly efficient CAC of just \$19.50 [21]. This choice represents the strategic appeal of social platforms: whereas search has lower absolute conversion rates than social, social media achieves relatively high conversion rates by using targeted audiences and rich content, making it one of the cheapest ways to attract customers (less than half that of intent channels) and very reliant on the compounding impact of organic sharing and audience trust [21]. However, caution must be taken in the generalization of these findings: the cost advantage can be highest in audience-driven industries, such as youth education.

5. Strategic integration, financial economics, and discussion

Having established how AI and SMM affect consumer behaviour at the psychological mechanism level, analysis next needs to consider whether these shifts have sustained business value. Successful transformation of the inner behavioural response into sustained business growth requires a strategy implementation of cross-channel marketing and accurate financial measurement.

5.1. The economics of behavior: Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC) and Lifetime Value (LTV)

At the simplest level, the basic financial equation that guides the viability and viability of any digital marketing strategy is the equation CAC and CLV, an equation that is simply known as LTV [22]. CAC is the overall financial cost to an organization to convince a potential customer to buy a product or service from it. CAC includes direct media spending from all media and technological platform fees, creative production costs, and the cost of attributable payroll in any form, and is divided by the total number of new customers acquired over the period of measurement [22]. CLV is simply the total expected net profit a firm expects to make from a single customer over the life of the relationship of the customer with the brand [5]. Overall marketing theory and robust industry norms insist that a healthy and scalable structure must maintain an LTV/CAC ratio of no worse than 3:1. Finally, if the cost of acquiring a consumer is greater than the revenue a consumer will generate, inevitable capital erosion and eventual bankruptcy can arise [22]. Integration of AI and SMM is ultimately an exercise in increasing the 'exact' ratio: using AI to increase LTV through personalized customer retention, and social media targeting to decrease CAC [22].

5.2. Organic vs. paid growth strategies and the power of compounding returns

With the digital advertising environments becoming even more saturated over the last decade, the costs of paid digital acquisition have dramatically increased. For instance, customer acquisition costs in sectors such as e-commerce are over 222% higher in the last few years, driven by highly competitive auctions on search and social media platforms. Nonetheless, many brands overweight paid channels because of instant feedback loops and easy attribution to carry out. An extremely detailed empirical analysis of Direct-to-Consumer (DTC) brands across paid-dominant and organic-dominant growth strategies provides a wealth of insights on long-term financial sustainability. The analysis examined 18-month marketing and financial panel data for 127 DTC brands from the US, and found that organizations that were organic-dominant—i.e., most of marketing investment went to non-paid channels—were themselves 41% lower in median CAC [22]. More critically, organic-dominant brands secured an exceptional LTV to CAC ratio of 4.2, which is approximately 2.4 times higher than the ratio achieved by paid-dominant brands [22].

Such remarkable results reflect the basic economic principle of compounding returns that is fundamental to content marketing and organic social engagement. Where advertising expenditure stops, the traffic and sales made through the advertising usually dissipate rapidly, resulting in negligible remaining values. By contrast, organic content marketing is a long-term, depreciation-resistant capital asset [22]. A good digital content piece can continue to deliver large amounts of highly qualified traffic, brand premium, and conversions months or even years after the first publication and funding of the content [22]. This in turn implies that the marginal cost of attracting additional customers becomes zero with time, greatly improving the overall LTV to CAC ratio and creating a very powerful durable competitive advantage [22]. But an important caveat—the effectiveness of organic as opposed to paid strategies is heavily modulated by the particular product category.

Many factors explain why beauty and wellness brands benefit disproportionately from organic channels. Beauty and wellness products are often experienced goods; peer opinion and style activism tend to be more trustworthy than direct advertorial claims. Food and beverage brands, by contrast, have stronger risk-adjusted returns from paid acquisition channels, such as direct ads for impulse purchases and immediate delivery, respectively [22].

5.3. The imperative of multi-channel attribution models

Therefore, to measure the financial impact of these heterogeneous digital channels, historical reliance on simplistic traditional last-click or first-touch attribution models is fundamentally unsustainable because they wrongly attribute relative weights of different touchpoints [23]. A modern-day digital ecosystem is extremely splintered. A prospect may see a brand being advertised by a micro-influencer on TikTok, be retargeted a week later while visiting an industry blog, receive an automated email newsletter, and then finish their purchase via searching for the brand name on Google [23]. If a business is using traditional last-click measurement, all financial credit belongs to Search. Search captures brand-name searches in many cases at the point of purchase, and last-click attribution may over-credit it and detract from other pre-need-purchase touchpoints.

Such an analytic error simply leads executives to incorrectly shift budgets away from the Social and Display channels that first created the first brand awareness and demand for a product [23]. Eventually, trimming budgets away from these top-of-funnel influence channels leads to the sudden disappearance of high-converting search traffic, because latent demand is no longer being created [23].

Consequently, deploying sophisticated, advanced, multi-touch, cross-channel attribution models is a marketing absolute operational necessity [23]. By scoring and reporting across platforms deduplication conversions, advanced algorithm-based attribution models enable marketers to understand the total, full customer journey [23]. This ensures that a marketer invests his or her money in True CAC. True CAC means the acquisition cost calculated across all (contributing) touchpoints instead of the only ultimate conversion channel. This is a metric that fairly distributes the acquisition cost across all strategic touch points that have influenced the behavioural response [23]. Modern AI analytics are great at this complicated territory, creating probabilistic models, Markov chains, machine learning, or any such algorithm to establish all non-linear conversions and finding the actual truth of marketing Return on Investment (ROI) [23].

5.4. Actionable suggestions for practitioners

Based on the synthesis of theoretical literature, empirical behavioral data, and rigorous financial benchmarks, several actionable strategies emerge for marketing executives, platform architects, and brand managers seeking to navigate the modern algorithmic landscape:

Organizations should adopt a hybrid human-machine operational framework. While AI delivers unprecedented efficiency in predictive analytics and hyper-personalization, the psychological threat of algorithm aversion remains highly potent [17]. Brands should avoid full automation in contexts such as service complaints, reputational crises, or interactions with vulnerable consumers, where human responsiveness is especially important. AI is most effective when deployed to augment human management rather than replacing it entirely [1]. Deploying AI for backend data processing, multi-touch attribution, and dynamic pricing, while maintaining authentic, human-led social media interactions and community management, ensures that operational efficiency does not compromise vital brand authenticity.

Marketers must calibrate channel allocation based on product category dynamics. The conventional marketing wisdom that aggressive paid advertising is the default, universally applicable path to scale must be discarded [22]. Brands operating in trust-heavy, high-consideration sectors must heavily bias investments toward organic content creation, micro-influencer seeding, and community building to drive down True CAC and maximize CLV [22]. Conversely, fast-moving consumer goods and low-consideration impulse purchases should continue to rigorously optimize paid acquisition channels.

Businesses should invest in compounding content assets as capital. Given the severe, continuously rising costs of paid digital advertising across search and social networks, businesses must fundamentally reframe content marketing. It should not be viewed as an operational marketing expense, but as a compounding capital investment [22]. Establishing high-quality, Search Engine Optimization (SEO)-focused digital content, combined with fostering interactive, proprietary digital communities, builds a formidable defensive moat against the volatility of paid ad auctions and unpredictable algorithmic platform changes [22]. Content assets require sustained investment in quality and relevance, and search algorithm changes may still disrupt organic strategies.

Organizations must transition to True CAC and Predictive CLV. Organizations must aggressively dismantle siloed tracking mechanisms and invest capital into unified, AI-driven multi-touch attribution systems [23]. By accurately calculating True CAC and shifting organizational focus toward Predictive CLV, firms can avoid the misallocation of resources caused by over-reliance on last-click data. Instead, intelligently funding the acquisition of specific consumer cohorts who provide sustainable, long-term profitability and high retention rates becomes possible [23].

Marketers should design for radical transparency and interactivity. Utilizing the S-O-R theoretical framework, marketers must ensure that the environmental stimuli architect strictly prioritize data transparency and user agency. Transparent algorithms that clearly, legally, and ethically communicate how user data is being harvested and utilized significantly reduce perceived risk. This transparency actively stimulates brand trust—the critical internal organismic variable that is necessary for generating positive, long-term behavioral responses and avoiding regulatory intervention [16].

5.5. Directions for future research

Despite the strong, multifaceted academic and industry knowledge base on AI and SMM, there are several key epistemological gaps that require strong future academic research efforts. First and

foremost, academic research needs to urgently develop the longitudinal psychological and behavioral impacts of advanced Generative AI. As LLMs and synthetic visual media become more syncretic with human inputs, researching how consumers or users may be affected in their baseline human trust, emotional fit, and perceived brand authenticity as a result of long-term exposure to entirely synthetic interactions is necessary over longer time ranges [8, 17]. Longitudinal experimental and panel survey designs are needed to understand how long-term exposure to AI-generated interactions affects trust and perceived brand authenticity.

Second, extensive cross-cultural and geopolitical studies are needed. Nearly all existing attribution models, behavioral models, and S-O-R application models come from consumer datasets originating from Western economies or very high-income developing countries in Asia. Looking at how algorithm aversion, privacy concerns, and eWOM validation emerge from different cultural backgrounds, varying digital literacies, and different regulatory frameworks will give a more universally applicable, global view of digital marketing [1]. Future research can compare individualist and collectivist cultures and examine how culture influences algorithm aversion and eWOM reliance.

Finally, empirical research must continue to develop the ethical governance and policy implications of AI marketing architectures. As algorithms become exponentially capable of assessing and influencing human cognitive biases, academics must develop principled frameworks around corporate incentive structures to develop hyper-personalized and efficient AI marketing architectures as well as fundamental human rights to digital privacy, cognitive sovereignty, and protection from algorithmic discrimination [8].

6. Conclusion

Therefore, the rapid evolution of digital marketing from a general broadcast practice to a more individualized, algorithmic science is a fundamental and irreversible transformation of the mechanism of global commerce. The comprehensive review showed that AI and SMM do not function as straws, isolated technical technologies, but form a deeply interlinked ecosystem that profoundly and continuously affects consumer behavior. Looking through the lens of the S-O-R model, researchers can clearly see that AI-supported hyper-personalization and the exuberant personalization of social media interactions are very potent environmental stimuli. When effectively and accurately performed, these stimuli are successful in reducing cognitive friction, influencing perceived purchase risk by validating eWOM, and enormously increasing the level of customer engagement. This ideal emotional state thus results in satisfactory and highly profitable behavioural responses such as raising purchase intention, promoting impulse buying, and ferocious, lifetime brand loyalty.

Lastly, the careful financial analyses included in this report dovetail in a similarly rigorous way with psychological and behavioural findings. While paid acquisition channels like SEM provide highly predictable, immediate visibility into high-intent consumer purchases, digital advertising's growing cost escalator forces a crucial organizational shift. Strong empirical evidence is available for prioritizing the growth of organic, compounding channels—like authoritative content marketing, micro-influencer dynamics, or creating proprietary communities. These organic approaches also reduce CAC and substantially lift CLV by creating an economic dynamic of compounding returns. However, in order to steer and measure this large, complex cross-channel environment correctly, adoption of AI-enabled, multi-touch attribution models is an absolute requirement for operational ROI assessment, so organizations can spend the money on true, holistic consumer value, rather than individual false, misleading touchpoints.

Ultimately, successful sustainable deployment of these more advanced digital technologies still requires a fine balance between computational power and human emotions. As algorithms become increasingly adept at predicting, categorizing, and influencing human desire, the care for consumer data, the transparency of algorithms, and the precise conservation of the brand soul is the ultimate competitive advantage. Companies that use AI and SMM not just to extract capital but also to enhance the authentic human connection, transparent digital communications, and provide authentic bespoke value will not only win short-term sales notional metrics, but will achieve long-term, irreplaceable relevance to the increasingly automated, highly competitive global marketplace.

References

- [1] Davenport, T., Guha, A., Grewal, D., & Bressgott, T. (2020). How artificial intelligence will change the future of marketing. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 24–42.
- [2] Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68.
- [3] Zhu, Y., & Chen, H. (2023). Artificial intelligence in marketing. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 60(1), 1–15.
- [4] Shakunt, I. (2022, July 19). Brands losing a record \$29 for each new customer acquired: New research shows a 222% increase in customer acquisition costs puts renewed focus on e-commerce profitability and repeat sales. *SimplicityDX*. <https://www.simplicitydx.com/blogs/press-release-brands-losing-a-record-29-for-each-new-customer-acquired>
- [5] Kumar, V., & Reinartz, W. (2016). Creating enduring customer value. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 36–68.
- [6] Reinartz, W. J., & Kumar, V. (2003). The impact of customer relationship characteristics on profitable lifetime duration. *Journal of Marketing*, 67(1), 77–99.
- [7] Dwivedi, Y. K., Ismagilova, E., Hughes, D. L., Carlson, J., Filieri, R., Jacobson, J., & Tajvidi, M. (2021). Setting the future of digital and social media marketing research: Perspectives and research propositions. *International Journal of Information Management*, 59, 102168.
- [8] Su, Y., Wang, E. J., & Berthon, P. (2026). Ethical marketing AI? A structured literature review of the ethical challenges posed by artificial intelligence in the domains of marketing and consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 182(2), 200–220.
- [9] Ismagilova, E., Dwivedi, Y. K., Slade, E., & Williams, M. D. (2017). Electronic word of mouth (eWOM) in the marketing context: A state of the art analysis and future directions. *Springer*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-52459-7>
- [10] An, G. K., & Ngo, T. T. A. (2024). Uncovering the influence of social media marketing activities on Generation Z's purchase intentions and eWOM for organic cosmetics. *PLOS ONE*, 19(12), e0325953.
- [11] Mehrabian, A., & Russell, J. A. (1974). *An approach to environmental psychology*. MIT Press.
- [12] Holthöwer, J., & van Doorn, J. (2023). Robots do not judge: Service robots can alleviate embarrassment in service encounters. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 51(4), 767–784.
- [13] Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Jurić, B., & Ilić, A. (2011). Customer engagement: Conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 14(3), 252–271.
- [14] Hollebeek, L. D., Glynn, M. S., & Brodie, R. J. (2014). Consumer brand engagement in social media: Conceptualization, scale development and validation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(2), 149–165.
- [15] Amra & Elma. (2026). Customer acquisition cost statistics. *Amra & Elma Agency*.
- [16] Cesur, M., & Armutcu, B. (2023). Yapay zekanın yeşil ürün satın alma davranışına etkisi. *Kamu Ekonomisi ve Kamu Mali Yönetimi Dergisi*, 3(2), 39–54.
- [17] Berger, B., Adam, M., Rühr, A., & Benlian, A. (2021). Watch me improve—Algorithm aversion and demonstrating the ability to learn. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 63(1), 55–68.
- [18] WordStream. (2024). Google Ads benchmarks for your industry. *WordStream*. <https://www.wordstream.com/blog/2024-google-ads-benchmarks>
- [19] Haroon, A. S., Hong, A. N. H., Hoo, W. C., Wolor, C. W., & Liau, C. H. (2024). The influence of electronic word of mouth in social media on Generation Z purchase intention in Malaysia. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 11(2), 55–65.
- [20] Business Perspectives. (2024). Examining the influence of social media marketing on purchase intention: The mediating role of brand image. *Innovative Marketing*, 19(4), 145–157.
- [21] Star Ink Path. (2026). Digital marketing group project pitchback [Unpublished group project]. GEC Academy.

- [22] Petrova, D. (2026). Customer acquisition cost optimization: A comparative study of paid versus organic growth strategies in direct-to-consumer brands. *American Impact Review*, 5(1), 12–28.
- [23] Chibber, K., & Zutshi, A. (2025). Data-driven optimization of customer acquisition cost: A business model based on predictive and prescriptive analytics. *International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR)*, 14(9), 111–125.