

Management Failures and the 2008 Financial Crisis: Evidence from U.S. Financial Institutions

Nai Wai Allen, Cheng

*College of Arts and Sciences, New York University, New York, USA
allencheng773@gmail.com*

Abstract. The 2008 financial crisis was a major global economic event that caused widespread financial instability and long-term economic damage. This paper examines how business management failures within United States financial institutions contributed to the development and severity of the crisis. Specifically, it analyzes leadership decision-making, risk management systems, and incentive structures. Many financial institutions increased their exposure to high-risk assets, particularly mortgage-backed securities, while failing to properly evaluate potential consequences. At the same time, risk management systems were often ineffective due to limited authority and reliance on inaccurate financial models. In addition, compensation structures encouraged short-term profit-seeking behavior, which increased overall risk-taking. Using qualitative analysis and secondary sources, this paper identifies how these factors interacted to create systemic instability. The findings suggest that management-related failures played a central role in the crisis. The paper also proposes practical solutions, including stronger corporate governance, improved risk management authority, redesigned incentive systems, and enhanced regulatory oversight. These recommendations aim to reduce the likelihood of similar financial crises in the future and promote long-term financial stability.

Keywords: Financial crisis, Business Management, Risk Management, Corporate Governance, Leadership

1. Introduction

The 2008 financial crisis was one of the most significant economic disruptions in modern history, affecting economies across the world and changing how financial systems operate. The crisis resulted in widespread unemployment, declining economic activity, and a major loss of confidence in financial institutions. Millions of individuals lost their homes due to foreclosure, while businesses struggled to maintain operations due to limited access to credit. In the United States, household wealth declined by approximately 11 trillion dollars between 2007 and 2009, demonstrating the large-scale financial losses experienced by individuals and families [1]. At the same time, the economy contracted sharply, with real gross domestic product (GDP) falling at an annual rate of 8.4 percent in the fourth quarter of 2008 [2]. These economic outcomes were not only caused by external market conditions, such as the collapse of the housing market, but were also influenced by internal management practices within financial institutions. Banks and investment firms played a

central role in shaping the financial system, and their decisions had a direct impact on risk levels. Many institutions increased their exposure to complex financial products, including mortgage-backed securities, without fully understanding the potential risks [3]. This paper examines how business management failures contributed to the 2008 financial crisis. It focuses on three main areas: leadership decision-making, risk management systems, and incentive structures. By analyzing these factors, the paper argues that management failures were a central cause of the crisis. In addition, the paper proposes practical solutions that could reduce the likelihood of similar financial crises in the future.

2. Literature review

2.1. Leadership and decision-making

Leadership decision-making has been identified as a key factor in the development of the financial crisis. Executives within financial institutions are often focused on maintaining profitability and expanding market share, which has led to increased investment in high-risk financial products. Mortgage-backed securities were especially attractive because they offered high returns, but they were also supported by loans that were not always reliable. One example of this behavior is Lehman Brothers, which continued to expand its investments in mortgage-related assets even as the housing market began to weaken. This decision suggests that leadership did not fully consider long-term risks when making strategic choices. Instead, decisions were influenced by expectations that housing prices would continue to rise. Research also suggests that overconfidence plays an important role in leadership behavior. Many executives believed that financial markets were stable and that risks were manageable. This belief reduced the urgency of responding to warning signs, such as increasing mortgage default rates [4]. As a result, financial institutions continued to take on risk even when evidence suggested that conditions were worsening.

2.2. Risk management systems

Risk management systems are designed to identify and reduce potential financial threats. However, during the period leading up to the financial crisis, these systems were often ineffective. In many institutions, risk managers do not have the authority to challenge executive decisions. This limited their ability to influence outcomes and prevent risky behavior. In addition, financial institutions relied heavily on complex financial models and credit rating systems to assess risk. These tools were based on assumptions that did not accurately reflect real market conditions. For example, mortgage-backed securities were often rated as low-risk investments, even though they were supported by loans that had a high chance of default. This created a false sense of security and encouraged further investment in risky assets. Poor communication within organizations also contributed to the failure of risk management systems. Information about potential risks was not always shared effectively between departments, which made it difficult to respond to emerging threats. As a result, financial institutions were unable to adapt quickly to changing market conditions.

2.3. Incentive structures and corporate governance

Incentive structures played a major role in shaping behavior within financial institutions. Compensation systems were often tied to short-term financial performance, which encouraged executives and managers to prioritize immediate profits. This created pressure to achieve high

returns quickly, even if it involved increased risk. Corporate governance systems were also limited in their ability to monitor these behaviors. Boards of directors are responsible for overseeing management decisions, but in many cases, they did not effectively evaluate risk-related strategies. The Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission described this situation as a "systemic breakdown in accountability and ethics," highlighting the widespread nature of the problem. Overall, the literature shows that leadership decisions, weak risk management systems, and flawed incentive structures were interconnected factors that contributed to the financial crisis.

3. Methodology

This study uses a qualitative research approach based on secondary sources, including academic articles, government reports, and economic data. These sources provide information about management practices, financial outcomes, and broader economic trends. The research focuses on three key variables: leadership decision-making, risk management effectiveness, and incentive structures. By analyzing these variables, the study identifies patterns in how financial institutions operated before and during the crisis. Although the study does not include primary data collection, the use of reliable secondary sources allows for a comprehensive analysis of the topic. This approach is appropriate because it provides access to detailed information about financial institutions and economic conditions during the crisis.

4. Results

4.1. Leadership failures

The analysis shows that leadership decisions contributed to increased financial risk. Executives often continued investing in high-risk assets despite clear warning signs of instability. For example, Lehman Brothers maintained significant exposure to mortgage-backed securities even as housing prices began to decline. This behavior suggests that decision-making processes did not adequately consider long-term consequences. Instead, leaders focused on maintaining profitability and market position. As a result, financial institutions became more vulnerable to economic downturns.

4.2. Risk management weaknesses

Risk management systems were limited in their effectiveness. In many cases, risk managers identified potential issues but were unable to influence executive decisions. This reduced the ability of organizations to respond to emerging threats. The impact of weak risk management can be seen in foreclosure data. In 2008, approximately 2.3 million properties in the United States received foreclosure filings, demonstrating the widespread effects of mortgage defaults [5]. This reflects how financial risk spread from institutions to households.

4.3. Incentives and behavior

Compensation systems encouraged short-term risk-taking. Managers were rewarded based on immediate financial performance, which created incentives to pursue high-risk strategies. The broader impact of these decisions is reflected in employment data. Between 2007 and 2009, the United States lost more than 8 million jobs, highlighting the widespread economic consequences of financial instability [6].

5. Discussion

The findings of this study suggest that business management failures were a central factor in the financial crisis. Leadership decisions, risk management systems, and incentive structures were interconnected and reinforced by each other. Leadership decisions increased exposure to risk by encouraging investment in complex financial products. Weak risk management systems allowed these decisions to continue without effective oversight [7, 8]. At the same time, incentive structures encouraged behavior that prioritized short-term profits. These factors created a financial system that was highly vulnerable to instability. When housing prices declined and mortgage defaults increased, the effects spread rapidly across institutions. This demonstrates how management practices can influence the overall stability of the financial system [9]. In addition to identifying these causes, the analysis highlights the importance of accountability and communication within organizations. Effective management requires clear communication between departments and a willingness to respond to potential risks [10]. Without these elements, organizations are more likely to experience failure [11]. To address these issues, several solutions can be implemented. Corporate governance systems should be strengthened to improve oversight and accountability. Risk management systems should be given greater authority so that they can effectively challenge risky decisions. Incentive structures should be redesigned to encourage long-term performance instead of short-term gains. Finally, regulatory frameworks should be enhanced to provide additional safeguards and reduce excessive risk-taking.

6. Conclusion

The 2008 financial crisis was influenced by a combination of factors, including business management practices within financial institutions. Leadership failures, weak risk management systems, and flawed incentive structures all contributed to financial instability. The evidence presented in this paper demonstrates that these factors were interconnected and reinforced by each other. As a result, the financial system became highly vulnerable to collapse. The implications of this analysis are important for future business leaders and policymakers. Effective management requires not only achieving financial success but also maintaining long-term stability. Organizations must prioritize accountability, communication, and responsible decision-making. The recommendations outlined in this paper provide practical steps for reducing financial risk. By improving corporate governance, strengthening risk management systems, redesigning incentives, and enhancing regulation, financial institutions can create a more stable system and reduce the likelihood of future crises.

References

- [1] Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System. (2024). *Financial accounts of the United States*. Federal Reserve. <https://www.federalreserve.gov/releases/z1/>
- [2] Bureau of Economic Analysis. (2024). *Gross domestic product, fourth quarter 2024*. U.S. Department of Commerce. <https://www.bea.gov/data/gdp>
- [3] Economics Observatory. (2023). Why did Lehman Brothers fail? *Economics Observatory*. <https://www.economicsobservatory.com/why-did-lehman-brothers-fail>
- [4] Financial Crisis Inquiry Commission. (2021). *The financial crisis inquiry report*. U.S. Government Publishing Office. <https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/GPO-FCIC/pdf/GPO-FCIC.pdf>
- [5] ATTOM Data Solutions. (2024). *U.S. foreclosure market report*. ATTOM Data Solutions. <https://www.attomdata.com>

- [6] Bureau of Labor Statistics. (2024). *Employment situation summary*. U.S. Department of Labor. <https://www.bls.gov/news.release/empsit.htm>
- [7] Eyinade, W., Ezeilo, O. J., & Ogundeji, I. A. (2025). Financial risk management strategies and their influence on organizational stability. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal*, 7(6), 229–251.
- [8] Moridu, I. (2023). The role corporate governance in managing financial risk: A qualitative study on listed companies. *The ES Accounting and Finance*, 1(3), 176–183.
- [9] Houwayji, S. (2024). The influence of risk management practices on financial market stability: Insights from Lebanon. *Dutch Journal of Finance and Management*, 7(1), 25671.
- [10] Podolchak, N., Tsygylyk, N., & Dziurakh, Y. (2022). Building an effective personnel risks management system of the organization. *Eastern-European Journal of Enterprise Technologies*, 118(13).
- [11] World Health Organization. (2024). Supporting member states in reaching informed decision-making on engaging with private sector entities for the prevention and control of noncommunicable diseases: A practical tool. *World Health Organization*.