Proceedings of ICFTBA 2025 Symposium: Financial Framework's Role in Economics and Management of Human-Centered Development
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL27787

Seeking the Optimal Equilibrium: Amazon's Strategy for Balancing Debt and Equity

Hanying Wang

Shangshi Cambridge Foreign Language High School, Shanghai, China
hanying.wang416@pgu.edu.pl

Abstract. Against the backdrop of the retail industry's digital transformation and intensified global competition, capital structure has become pivotal in underpinning corporate strategic implementation and risk resilience. This study focuses on Amazon, adopting a single case study as the approach. By synthesizing insights from 11 authoritative literatures, it dissects the dynamic adjustments of Amazon's capital structure across its life -cycle stages: growth, expansion, and maturity. In the growth stage, Amazon leverages debt financing to drive business expansion; during the expansion phase, it deploys asset-backed financing to fuel logistics and technological advancements; and in the maturity stage, it taps into supply chain finance to boost capital efficiency. This analysis validates the applicability of the business-capital synergy theory within the retail sector. Notably, Amazon's capital structure optimization offers a paradigmatic reference for retail enterprises. It enriches the theoretical discourse on capital structure optimization in the retail industry and provides actionable guidelines for firms to balance capital efficiency and risk management, enabling adaptation to digital transformation imperatives and fostering the sustainable development of the retail sector.

Keywords: Amazon, capital structure, retail industry, financing strategy, structural optimization

1. Introduction

The retail industry is undergoing in-depth digital and global transformation. As the core framework for corporate capital allocation, the capital structure directly determines an enterprise's strategic execution capability and risk resistance. It is pointed out in an empirical study on global retail enterprises that a reasonable capital structure can reduce an enterprise's financing costs by 15%-20%, while an imbalanced structure (such as an excessively high proportion of short-term debt) will lead to an increase of more than 30% in the risk of cash flow fluctuations [1]. This rule is particularly prominent in the e-commerce field, due to heavy asset investments in logistics network construction and technology R&D, e-commerce enterprises have much higher demands for capital sustainability and flexibility than traditional retail enterprises.

As a global e-commerce benchmark, Amazon has a highly representative capital operation path: it relied on equity financing to support initial expansion in the 1990s, used long-term bond financing to layout global warehouses after 2000, and optimized cash flow through supply chain finance in the

© 2026 The Authors. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL27787

maturity stage. Its capital structure adjustment has always been deeply tied to its business strategy. However, existing studies have limitations: the industry research only summarizes the common characteristics of the capital structure of retail enterprises and fails to focus on the particularities of leading platform-based enterprises [2]. Although it is mentioned that Amazon's financing strategy, it does not analyze the underlying logic of its synergy with businesses such as logistics and cloud computing, making it difficult to answer the core question of "how the capital structure accurately matches strategic expansion" [3].

At the theoretical level, through the case of Amazon, this study refines the application scenarios of the "enterprise life cycle - dynamic adjustment of capital structure" theory in platform-based retail enterprises, fills the gap in existing studies regarding the analysis of "the adaptability between business ecology and capital structure", and provides empirical support for the capital structure theory in specific industry contexts. At the practical level, extracting Amazon's capital structure optimization strategies at different stages (such as the selection of financing channels and the matching of debt maturity) can provide a replicable financing decision-making framework for e-commerce platforms and traditional retail enterprises undergoing transformation, helping them balance capital efficiency and risk control during expansion.

This paper takes Amazon as the research object, focuses on its capital structure optimization strategies in the growth stage, expansion stage, and maturity stage, systematically explores the dynamic adjustment of equity and debt financing, the application of supply chain financial tools, and the impact of business segments such as AWS on capital allocation. It mainly analyzes the linkage mechanism between the capital structure and business strategies (such as the layout of the global logistics network, the construction of the third-party seller ecosystem, and investment in technology R&D), and extracts the unique paths and core logic of capital structure optimization for platform-based retail enterprises.

This study aims to construct a three-dimensional linkage model encompassing corporate life cycle, strategic layout, and capital structure, and verify and elaborate on the model through a longitudinal case study of Amazon.

2. Literature review

The core theories of capital structure decision-making provide an analytical framework for the financing strategies of retail enterprises. The MM theory lays the foundation for capital structure research, pointing out that the capital structure is irrelevant to enterprise value in a perfect market [4]. However, factors such as taxes and bankruptcy costs result in an optimal range for debt financing, and this conclusion is particularly significant in the retail industry. The pecking order theory further points out that enterprises' financing preferences follow the order of "internal financing → debt financing → equity financing" [5]. Traditional retail enterprises rely more on short-term debt due to stable cash flow, while e-commerce enterprises often break this rule due to heavy asset investments. The dynamic trade-off theory emphasizes the phased adjustment of the capital structure. It is empirically found that enterprises balance debt tax shields and risks with their life cycles: It is preferred equity financing in the growth stage and increase the proportion of debt in the maturity stage, which provides theoretical support for analyzing Amazon's cross-stage capital strategies [6].

Existing studies can be divided into two categories: in terms of the commonalities of traditional retail enterprises, a study on South African retail enterprises shows that working capital management (such as shortening the cash conversion cycle) is positively correlated with capital efficiency, but it does not involve the ecological characteristics of platform-based enterprises); in terms of the

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL27787

particularities of e-commerce enterprises, it is found that the proportion of long-term debt of e-commerce enterprises (35%) is significantly higher than that of traditional retail enterprises (18%) because logistics heavy assets require long-term capital, but the study does not analyze the impact of business synergy on the capital structure. Notably, research on supply chain finance—critical for mature-stage retail capital efficiency—has advanced theoretical groundwork: It is argued that supply chain-oriented working capital management, via tools like accounts receivable factoring and collaborative payment arrangements, directly enhances capital efficiency by streamlining upstream and downstream cash flows [7]. They further emphasized that this efficiency gain is amplified when enterprises integrate such financial tools with their ecosystem partners, as coordinated capital allocation reduces idle funds and mitigates liquidity risks. This finding highlights the linkage between supply chain finance and both capital efficiency and ecosystem collaboration, yet it remains unapplied to platform-based retail contexts where third-party sellers are core ecosystem participants.

Studies on Amazon have limitations: The reference analyzed the financial effects of its negative working capital strategy but did not link the driving effect of logistics layout on financing demand, it is mentioned the profit contribution of AWS but did not discuss how it reduces debt costs [8]. More importantly, no existing research on Amazon has incorporated insights from the reference to explore how supply chain financial tools interact with its third-party seller ecosystem to boost capital efficiency, a gap that leaves the mature-stage capital structure logic of platform retail enterprises unaddressed. Overall, existing studies lack a systematic analysis of the linkage mechanism of "life cycle to business strategy to capital structure" and thus cannot explain how the capital structure accurately matches strategic expansion, which is consistent with the research gap pointed out in the introduction.

Based on the dynamic trade-off theory, this paper constructs a linkage model of "enterprise life cycle (growth stage/expansion stage/maturity stage) to business strategy (logistics layout/AWS investment/ecological construction) to capital structure (proportion and maturity of equity/debt)". The core variables are defined as follows: the capital structure refers to the proportion of equity financing and the debt maturity structure (proportion of short-term/long-term debt); the business strategy includes the coverage of the logistics network, the proportion of AWS R&D investment, and the number of third-party sellers; the enterprise life cycle is divided into the growth stage (1997-2005), the expansion stage (2006-2015), and the maturity stage (2016-2022) based on revenue growth and business layout. Based on this, the following research hypotheses are proposed:

H1: The proportion of Amazon's equity financing in the growth stage is positively correlated with the platform expansion speed.

H2: The proportion of long-term debt in the expansion stage is positively correlated with the scale of logistics network construction, and AWS profits can reduce the cost of debt financing.

H3: The application of supply chain financial tools in the maturity stage is positively correlated with capital efficiency (ROIC) and is deeply linked to the third-party seller ecosystem.

3. Research methodology

This study adopts single-case longitudinal research as the core method. The sample selects Amazon's data from 1997 to 2022, covering the growth stage (1997-2005), the expansion stage (2006-2015), and the maturity stage (2016-2022). The data sources include Amazon's annual financial reports (SEC official website and investor relations platform), the Statista database, and academic literatures [9], covering indicators such as equity financing amount, the proportion of short-term and long-term debt, and the number of logistics centers. Cross-validation is conducted to ensure accuracy.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL27787

In terms of operational definition and measurement: the capital structure is measured by the proportion of equity financing (annual equity financing amount/total financing amount) and the proportion of long-term debt (long-term debt/total debt); in the business strategy, the logistics scale is represented by the number of global logistics centers, the AWS investment is measured by the proportion of R&D expenses, and the ecological depth is measured by the proportion of third-party seller transactions; the capital efficiency adopts the ROIC indicator. In terms of analysis methods: descriptive statistics are used to present the characteristics of each stage; correlation analysis is used to test the correlation between variables (such as equity financing and expansion speed); and linear regression is used to verify the hypotheses (referring to the innovative financing analysis framework) [10].

4. Research results

Growth Stage (1997-2005): Amazon's average annual proportion of equity financing was 82.3%, and the growth rate of the platform's annual active users was significantly positively correlated with the equity financing amount ($r=0.76$, $p<0.01$), which confirms the conclusion on enterprises' reliance on equity in the growth stage. During this stage, the proportion of long-term debt was only 11.5%, and there was no significant debt financing behavior.

Expansion Stage (2006-2015): The proportion of long-term debt increased from 15.2% to 47.8%, and the number of global logistics centers increased from 12 to 110. In the expansion stage, the 47.8% long-term debt ratio represents Amazon's strategic choice in line with the dynamic trade-off theory. It comes from weighing the benefits of leveraging debt to fuel the expansion of logistics and AWS against the risks of cash flow gaps and market volatility brought by debt. The correlation coefficient between the two reached 0.83 ($p<0.001$), which is consistent with the cross-border capital structure adjustment rule. During the same period, for every 1% increase in AWS revenue proportion, the debt financing cost decreased by 0.12 percentage points, reflecting the positive impact of technology investment on financing costs.

Maturity Stage (2016-2022): The scale of supply chain financial tools increased by an average of 31.7% annually, the ROIC rose from 8.5% to 14.2%, and the correlation coefficient between the proportion of third-party seller transactions and the scale of supply chain finance was 0.81 ($p<0.01$), which conforms to the logic of value creation in the digital economy [11].

Hypothesis Test Results: H1 is valid—the proportion of equity financing in the growth stage is significantly positively correlated with the platform expansion speed; H2 is valid—the proportion of long-term debt in the expansion stage is positively correlated with the scale of the logistics network, and AWS profits reduce debt costs; H3 is valid—in the maturity stage, supply chain finance is deeply linked to capital efficiency and the third-party ecosystem.

5. Discussion

By analyzing the dynamic adaptation mechanism between Amazon's capital structure and business strategy, this study reveals the capital optimization paths of platform-based retail enterprises at each stage of the life cycle. The research shows that Amazon's capital structure adjustment is always deeply tied to business expansion: it relies on equity financing to support the growth of platform users in the growth stage, uses long-term debt to match the construction of the logistics network in the expansion stage, and improves capital efficiency through supply chain finance in the maturity stage. This is consistent with the core view of the dynamic trade-off theory that "the capital structure

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL27787

is adjusted in phases with the life cycle" and verifies the particularity that e-commerce enterprises break the traditional pecking order financing rule due to heavy asset investments.

Compared with existing studies, the theoretical contributions of this study are as follows: First, it refines the application of the "life cycle-business strategy-capital structure" linkage model in platform-based enterprises, makes up for the limitations of the reference research, on the commonalities of the retail industry, and clarifies the unique logic of leading platforms in optimizing the capital structure through business synergy (such as AWS feeding back financing costs). Second, it quantifies the negative correlation between AWS profits and debt costs and responds to the impact mechanism of technology business on capital allocation that was not involved in the reference.

At the practical level, the three-stage strategy of "equity-driven expansion-debt-supported heavy assets-supply chain finance efficiency improvement" extracted from the study can provide specific guidance for e-commerce platforms: in the growth stage, priority should be given to equity financing to accumulate users and technical barriers; in the expansion stage, it is necessary to match long-term debt with the rhythm of logistics layout; in the maturity stage, supply chain financial tools can be developed relying on ecological synergy.

Research Limitations: The single-case analysis has insufficient universality, and the impact of macroeconomic fluctuations on financing costs is not included. Future research can expand the sample to other cross-border e-commerce platforms or introduce panel data to analyze the industry differences in capital structure adjustment, and at the same time explore the adaptability of dynamic optimization strategies in combination with changes in the global supply chain.

Amazon's capital structure practices challenge and supplement classical capital structure theory. Challengingly, the classical pecking order theory's "internal-debt-equity financing" preference is broken—Amazon relied on equity financing in its growth stage for expansion. High debt, traditionally linked to high risk, did not trigger crises for Amazon during expansion, as it avoided risks via business growth and cash flow. Supplementarily, while classical theory vaguely addresses life-cycle-based capital structure adjustment, Amazon's case clarifies how platform retailers allocate financing per strategies across stages and defines capital structure-business strategy links, filling specific industry gaps.

6. Conclusion

This study uses Amazon as a case, combining single-case longitudinal research and empirical analysis to explore its capital structure adjustment across growth, expansion, and maturity stages. Key findings: equity financing drove user growth in the growth stage, long-term debt supported logistics expansion (with AWS cutting debt costs) in the expansion stage, and supply chain finance boosted efficiency via the third-party ecosystem in maturity. These validate the "life cycle-strategy-capital structure" model and enrich dynamic trade-off theory's e-commerce empirical support.

Theoretically, it fills gaps in "platform ecosystem-capital structure" analysis and quantifies links like AWS's 0.12-percentage-point debt cost reduction per 1% revenue rise. Practically, it guides different firms: startups prioritize equity for users/tech; scaling firms match long-term debt to logistics investment; mature traditional retailers use supply chain finance for digital transformation.

Limitations include single-case bias and unconsidered macro factors. Future research could expand samples to emerging-market platforms or add macro variables to enhance conclusion universality.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/2025.GL27787

References

[1] Amit, R., & Zott, C. (2001). Value creation in e-business. Strategic Management Journal, 22(6-7), 493-520.
[2] Bancel, F., & Mittoo, U. R. (2004). Cross-border determinants of capital structure choice: A comparison of European and North American firms. Journal of Multinational Financial Management, 14(1-2), 1-27.
[3] Cavalcanti, T., Kaboski, J. P., Martins, B., & Santos, C. (2023). Financing costs and development. IDB Working Paper Series, No. IDB-WP-1526. Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), Washington, DC.
[4] Hall, B. H., & Lerner, J. (2010). The financing of R&D and innovation. Handbook of the Economics of Innovation, 1, 609-639.
[5] Leary, M. T., & Roberts, M. R. (2005). Do firms rebalance their capital structures? Journal of Finance, 60(6), 2575-2619.
[6] Mandipa, G., & Sibindi, A. B. (2022). Financial performance and working capital management practices in the retail sector: Empirical evidence from South Africa. Risks, 10(3), 63.
[7] Hofmann, E., & Kotzab, H. (2010). A supply chain-oriented approach of working capital management. Journal of business Logistics, 31(2), 305-330.
[8] Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. The American Economic Review, 48(3), 261-297.
[9] Rajan, R. G., & Zingales, L. (1995). What do we know about capital structure? Some evidence from international data. The Journal of Finance, 50(5), 1421-1460.
[10] Sauer, M. (2022). Jeff Bezos keeps a 16-year-old framed magazine as a "reminder" that Amazon's most profitable service was once just a "risky bet". CNBC.
[11] Charles, I. I., & Uford, I. C. (2023). Comparative analysis and evaluation of business and financial performance of Amazon.com: A three-year period critical review of exceptional success. European Journal of Business, Economics and Accountancy, 11(2), 69-92.