

Technological Development and Economic Benefit Analysis of Renewable Energy

Jingyi Liu

Wuhan Britain-China School, Wuhan, China
carinaliu2026@163.com

Abstract. The rapid increase in global vehicle ownership has led to a growing accumulation of waste tires, which pose severe environmental and public health challenges due to their resistance to natural degradation and risks of uncontrolled disposal. Traditional methods such as landfilling and incineration not only waste potential resources but also generate pollution, making the search for sustainable alternatives both urgent and essential. This paper examines the technological development, economic benefits, and challenges associated with energy recovery from waste tires through pyrolysis, framed within renewable energy and environmental economics perspectives. The analysis highlights key technological innovations—such as catalytic and microwave-assisted pyrolysis, advanced reactor designs, and product upgrading—that enhance process efficiency and product quality. Economic impacts are gauged through contributions to renewable-energy deployment, circular-economy gains, and monetized environmental externalities—an assessment that weaves together economic theory, valuation formulas, and policy implications. This study identifies major challenges, including high capital and operational costs, market volatility, public acceptance barriers, and regulatory uncertainties. Findings suggest that with supportive policy frameworks, technological refinement, and effective stakeholder engagement, pyrolysis can serve as a viable pathway to reduce environmental burdens, conserve resources, and strengthen renewable energy portfolios.

Keywords: Waste tire pyrolysis, renewable energy, circular economy, environmental economics

1. Introduction

Energy recovery from waste tires has become an important area of research as the world seeks sustainable solutions for both waste management and renewable energy generation. Waste tires are difficult to degrade and pose significant environmental risks if landfilled or incinerated [1]. Pyrolysis, the thermochemical decomposition of tires in the absence of oxygen, offers a promising pathway to convert this problematic waste into valuable secondary products such as pyrolysis oil, syngas, and recovered carbon black [2]. The development of catalytic and microwave-assisted processes, continuous reactor systems, and advanced product upgrading technologies has greatly enhanced the efficiency, scalability, and environmental performance of pyrolysis [3].

Despite these advances, significant challenges remain. Variability in tire composition leads to inconsistent product quality, while catalysts often suffer from deactivation, raising operational costs [4]. High capital investment and long payback periods further hinder large-scale adoption, particularly in developing economies with limited financing and infrastructure [5]. From an environmental perspective, although pyrolysis is cleaner than incineration, the control of volatile organic compounds and fine particulate emissions continues to be a regulatory concern [6].

This paper aims to examine both the technological progress and the economic impacts of waste-tire pyrolysis, with a focus on how the process contributes to renewable energy portfolios, supports circular economy principles, and reduces negative environmental externalities [7]. At the same time, the study highlights the barriers that prevent wider adoption, including economic risks, social acceptance, and policy uncertainty. By integrating perspectives from renewable energy development and environmental economics, this research provides insights into how pyrolysis can evolve into a viable and scalable solution for sustainable energy and waste management [8].

2. Economic effects of energy recovery from waste tires

2.1. Contribution to the renewable energy system

Energy recovery from waste tires through pyrolysis represents a strategic intersection between waste management and renewable energy generation. By transforming an otherwise persistent solid waste into usable forms of energy—such as pyrolysis oil, syngas, and recovered carbon black—the process directly supplements the renewable energy portfolio and reduces dependence on fossil fuels. While waste tires are not inherently a primary renewable resource, their conversion into energy products aligns with the broader principles of resource circularity and sustainable energy supply, thereby contributing to the transition toward a low-carbon economy [9].

Pyrolysis oil, when upgraded through hydroprocessing or blending, can serve as a drop-in substitute for petroleum-derived fuels in industrial boilers, turbines, and transportation sectors. This substitution displaces conventional fossil-based energy sources, resulting in measurable reductions in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions over the product life cycle. The syngas fraction, composed primarily of hydrogen, carbon monoxide, and light hydrocarbons, can be utilized for on-site power generation or as a chemical feedstock. In integrated energy systems, syngas provides operational flexibility by enabling combined heat and power (CHP) configurations that enhance overall energy efficiency [10].

The contribution to the renewable energy system extends beyond fuel substitution. Pyrolysis plants can be configured to operate with high levels of energy self-sufficiency by using part of the produced syngas to meet their own thermal and electrical demands. This closed-loop energy use reduces external energy inputs, lowering the process carbon footprint and improving net energy yields. When connected to distributed renewable energy networks, such facilities can function as dispatchable energy sources, complementing intermittent renewable resources such as wind and solar by providing baseline or peak-load support [11].

The recovered carbon black, while not directly an energy source, indirectly contributes to renewable system goals by reducing the need for virgin carbon black production, which is highly energy-intensive. The avoided energy consumption and emissions associated with primary carbon black manufacturing create a form of “embedded” renewable contribution, consistent with circular economy metrics recognized in renewable energy accounting.

From a systems perspective, the integration of waste tire pyrolysis into national renewable energy strategies strengthens supply diversity, enhances energy security, and supports the decarbonization

of hard-to-abate sectors. Integrating pyrolysis-derived fuels into renewable-energy credit schemes and carbon-offset markets would provide powerful incentives, accelerating deployment and amplifying their contribution to national renewable-energy targets.

2.2. Circular economy benefits

The energy recovery of waste tires through pyrolysis is an illustrative case of circular economy (CE) principles applied within an industrial and environmental economics framework. The CE paradigm emphasizes resource efficiency, closed-loop material cycles, and the minimization of waste generation. In economic terms, it seeks to internalize externalities and maximize the total social welfare derived from finite resources [12].

From a theoretical standpoint, the benefits of such a system can be understood through material flow economics and externality internalization models. In the case of waste tires, the baseline scenario is characterized by negative externalities—pollution, land occupation, and potential public health risks—whose costs are not reflected in market prices. These costs can be represented as MEC (Marginal External Cost), shifting the social marginal cost curve above the private marginal cost curve [13]. The result is an overproduction of waste and underinvestment in recovery technologies.

Pyrolysis transforms this market failure by creating secondary products—pyrolysis oil, syngas, and recovered carbon black—that re-enter production and consumption cycles, effectively reducing the demand for virgin resources. This aligns with the resource substitution effect, where the replacement of primary resources with recovered materials yields both direct cost savings and indirect environmental gains.

The net benefit of such substitution can be expressed as:

$$NB = (P_v - P_r) \cdot Q_r + E_s \quad (1)$$

Where:

P_v = price of virgin material or energy

P_r = price of recovered material or energy

Q_r = quantity of recovered output

E_s = monetized environmental savings (avoided emissions, reduced landfill use)

Environmental savings E_s can be calculated using shadow pricing of emissions or landfill avoidance costs:

$$E_s = \sum_{i=1}^n (EF_i \cdot SC_i) \quad (2)$$

Where:

EF_i = emission factor or avoided waste factor for pollutant/resource i

SC_i = social cost of pollutant/resource i

In the context of pyrolysis, the environmental savings stem from reduced CO₂-equivalent emissions, decreased particulate and VOC pollution compared to incineration, and the avoidance of methane emissions from tire stockpiles or landfills.

From a macroeconomic perspective, the CE benefits extend to value retention across the product life cycle. The recovered carbon black can replace virgin carbon black in rubber manufacturing, conserving the high energy inputs required for primary production. The pyrolysis oil and syngas displace fossil-based fuels, mitigating price volatility risks in energy markets. These effects contribute to resource productivity, a key CE metric often expressed as:

$$RP = \frac{GDP}{DMC} \quad (3)$$

Where:

GDP = gross domestic product

DMC = domestic material consumption

By reducing DMC through resource recovery, pyrolysis raises RP, enhancing the economy's resilience and sustainability profile.

The CE framework also emphasizes cascade use and design for recyclability, where pyrolysis serves as an end-of-life option that preserves material value before final disposal. In this way, it functions as a systemic complement to retreading, mechanical recycling, and material recovery processes, enabling a hierarchy of resource use consistent with the waste management pyramid [14].

The monetization of CE benefits is increasingly tied to policy instruments such as Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) schemes, renewable energy credits, and carbon pricing. Under EPR, tire manufacturers may fund pyrolysis infrastructure to meet mandated recovery targets, creating a revenue stream for operators and closing the economic gap between private and social returns. In a carbon pricing context, avoided emissions translate into tradable credits, further enhancing profitability.

An additional economic principle at play is the polluter-pays principle (PPP), which ensures that the social cost of waste management is borne by producers and consumers rather than the general public. Pyrolysis offers a cost-effective compliance mechanism under PPP frameworks, reducing both direct waste management fees and potential penalties.

The long-term CE benefits can also be modeled using a dynamic optimization approach, where the objective is to maximize the present value of net social benefits (NSB) over time:

$$\max \sum_{t=0}^T \frac{B_t - C_t}{(1+r)^t} \quad (4)$$

Where:

B_t = economic and environmental benefits at time t

C_t = total costs at time t

r = discount rate

T = time horizon

In this model, the adoption of pyrolysis shifts the benefit curve upward through avoided externalities and resource substitution, while cost reductions over time (learning effects, economies of scale) enhance the net present value of the system.

2.3. Environmental externality value

The environmental externality value of waste-tire energy recovery captures the quantified benefits of averting these damages and the positive spillovers created by pyrolysis. In environmental economics, externalities are costs or benefits omitted from market prices. Left unmanaged—through landfilling, open dumping, or uncontrolled burning—waste tires impose substantial negative externalities: greenhouse-gas emissions, leachate contamination, vector-borne disease risks, and landscape degradation [15].

Pyrolysis reduces these externalities through the controlled conversion of waste tires into useful products, replacing environmentally intensive virgin resources and fossil fuels. The valuation of this

benefit can be framed using the concept of avoided damage costs, where the externality value (EV) is expressed as:

$$EV = \sum_{i=1}^n (Q_{a,i} \cdot SC_i) \quad (5)$$

Where:

$Q_{a,i}$ = quantity of pollutant or harmful output avoided for pollutant i

SC_i = social cost per unit of pollutant i

For greenhouse gases, SC_i is often derived from the social cost of carbon (SCC), which monetizes the present value of climate-related damages per ton of CO₂-equivalent emitted. For pollutants, such as particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) or volatile organic compounds (VOCs), SC_i can be estimated from public health cost studies or willingness-to-pay (WTP) measures to reduce exposure.

The environmental externality value also incorporates resource depletion avoidance, where recovered carbon black, steel, and energy outputs reduce the extraction and processing of virgin resources. This results in additional avoided emissions and ecosystem impacts, which can be valued using life-cycle assessment (LCA)-based shadow prices.

In a more comprehensive welfare framework, the net change in social welfare (ΔW) from adopting pyrolysis instead of baseline disposal methods can be approximated as:

$$\Delta W = EV - C_{\text{abatement}} \quad (6)$$

Where $C_{\text{abatement}}$ represents the cost of implementing and operating the pyrolysis system. A positive ΔW indicates that the social benefits of externality reduction exceed the associated costs, justifying investment and policy support.

In regions with carbon pricing or renewable energy credit systems, a portion of the externality value is internalized into market transactions through tradable permits or credit revenues. This partial internalization strengthens the economic case for pyrolysis and aligns private incentives with social objectives.

3. Major challenges

3.1. Economic challenges

The economic viability of waste tire pyrolysis remains contingent on overcoming several interrelated cost and market barriers. High capital expenditure is one of the primary challenges, as the design and installation of continuous pyrolysis systems, feedstock preprocessing units, and emission control equipment require significant upfront investment. The financing of such projects can be particularly difficult in regions with limited access to credit or underdeveloped renewable energy markets.

Operational costs also pose constraints. Catalysts, while improving conversion efficiency, increase material expenses and may require frequent replacement due to deactivation. Skilled labor for system operation and maintenance adds to recurring costs, especially when advanced digital monitoring or safety compliance systems are implemented. Feedstock collection and transportation logistics further contribute to the cost structure, with profitability heavily dependent on the density of waste tire sources relative to plant location.

Market volatility for pyrolysis products is another concern. Prices for pyrolysis oil and recovered carbon black fluctuate in response to crude oil prices, demand cycles in the rubber industry, and

competition from alternative materials. Such volatility affects revenue predictability and can deter long-term investment. In addition, the absence of standardized product specifications in many regions can hinder market acceptance, forcing producers to engage in additional refining or certification processes.

3.2. Social acceptance and environmental regulatory risks

Public perception plays a pivotal role in the adoption of waste tire pyrolysis. While the technology offers clear environmental advantages over uncontrolled disposal or incineration, local communities may express concerns about potential air emissions, odors, or industrial activity near residential areas. Misunderstanding of the process—often conflating pyrolysis with traditional incineration—can create resistance during permitting stages. Effective public communication, transparency in environmental performance, and demonstration of safety records are essential to building trust.

Regulatory risks are equally significant. Pyrolysis plants are subject to stringent environmental standards, particularly for emissions of particulate matter, volatile organic compounds, and other hazardous air pollutants. Compliance often necessitates advanced gas cleaning and continuous monitoring systems, increasing operational complexity and costs. Regulatory frameworks vary widely, and changes in emission thresholds, permitting requirements, or classification of pyrolysis outputs can directly impact plant feasibility.

Uncertainty in waste classification can also pose legal challenges. In some jurisdictions, pyrolysis oil is treated as a waste-derived fuel, subject to stricter controls than conventional fuels, which can restrict its marketability. Similarly, recovered carbon black may face regulatory ambiguity regarding its reuse in consumer products, potentially limiting higher-value applications.

4. Conclusion

This study has examined the technological development, economic potential, and environmental implications of energy recovery from waste tires through pyrolysis. The analysis highlights that pyrolysis offers a sustainable pathway to convert end-of-life tires into valuable products, including pyrolysis oil, syngas, and recovered carbon black. Technological innovations such as catalytic and microwave-assisted processes, continuous reactor designs, and advanced product upgrading have significantly improved process efficiency, scalability, and product quality. Economically, pyrolysis contributes to renewable energy portfolios, strengthens circular economy practices, and creates measurable benefits by reducing environmental externalities. From a policy and sustainability perspective, it offers a viable strategy for resource conservation and decarbonization.

Nevertheless, several limitations remain. High capital and operational costs, feedstock variability, catalyst deactivation, and market volatility for pyrolysis products continue to hinder large-scale adoption. Social acceptance and regulatory uncertainty also pose challenges, as public concerns about emissions and inconsistent classifications of pyrolysis products affect market integration. While the study provides a broad overview of these issues, it relies primarily on theoretical frameworks and literature synthesis, lacking detailed empirical data, quantitative modeling, or case-specific analyses that could strengthen its conclusions.

Future research should focus on expanding empirical evidence through techno-economic case studies of operational plants in different regions. Advanced modeling approaches—combining life-cycle assessment with cost-benefit and policy impact analysis—would provide more precise evaluations of economic and environmental outcomes. Research into next-generation catalysts, digitalized process control, and integration with renewable energy credit systems will also be critical

to enhancing feasibility. By addressing these gaps, future studies can help position waste-tire pyrolysis not only as a waste-management solution but also as an integral component of sustainable energy and circular economy strategies worldwide.

References

- [1] Warsame A. A., Hassan A. A., Hussein A. H., et al. (2024) Investigation of the environmental Kuznets curve for consumption-based carbon dioxide emissions in Kenya: the role of renewable energy and globalization [J]. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 10(1).
- [2] Godfrey U. O. (2024) Renewable Energy from Agricultural Waste: Biogas Potential for Sustainable Energy Generation in Nigeria's Rural Agricultural Communities [J]. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports*, 26(12): 341-367.
- [3] Badrudeen U. T., David O. L., Nwulu N. (2024) Management of environmental and economic tradeoffs for the optimization of renewable energy scheme [J]. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, 43(1).
- [4] Maluleke G., Odhiambo M. N., Nyasha S. (2024) Is there a non-linear relationship between renewable energy consumption and economic growth in Namibia? [J]. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 12(1).
- [5] Prempeh B. K. (2024) The role of economic growth, financial development, globalization, renewable energy and industrialization in reducing environmental degradation in the economic community of West African States [J]. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 12(1).
- [6] Ayed Y., Afif A. R., Fortes P., et al. (2024) Optimal design and techno-economic analysis of hybrid renewable energy systems: A case study of Thala city, Tunisia [J]. *Energy Sources, Part B: Economics, Planning, and Policy*, 19(1).
- [7] Zhang J., Muhammad T., Dai W., et al. (2024) How Does the Resource Curse Influence Economic Performance? Exploring the Role of Natural Resource Rents and Renewable Energy Consumption in South Asia [J]. *Sustainability*, 16(24).
- [8] Le T. T., Tran Q. P., Dhar K. B. (2024) Circular economy and social life cycle assessment: The role of corporate renewable energy strategies, environmental justice, and environmental impacts [J]. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- [9] Mohammed A. G. M., Gadir A. M. E. S., Alsulami F., et al. (2024) Exploring the Effects of Renewable Energy, Energy Consumption, and Industrial Growth on Saudi Arabia's Environmental Footprint: An Autoregressive Distributed Lag Analysis [J]. *Energies*, 17(24).
- [10] Moreno J., Medina P. J., Behnke P. R. (2023) Latin America's Renewable Energy Impact: Climate Change and Global Economic Consequences [J]. *Energies*, 17(1).
- [11] Jatin S., Kuntal B. (2023) Equilibrium optimizer for the economic load dispatch problem with multiple fuel option and renewable sources [J]. *International Journal of Ambient Energy*, 44(1): 2386-2397.
- [12] Mohd A. (2023) The tapestry of green economics: mapping the nexus of CO₂ emissions, economic growth, and renewable energy [J]. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, 42(1): 1364-1390.
- [13] Munish M., Shivi S., Shashidhar K. R., et al. (2023) A critical review on techno-economic analysis of hybrid renewable energy resources-based microgrids [J]. *Journal of Engineering and Applied Science*, 70(1).
- [14] Arshad A., Magdalena R., Daniel L. B. (2023) A dynamic relationship between renewable energy consumption, nonrenewable energy consumption, economic growth, and carbon dioxide emissions: Evidence from Asian emerging economies [J]. *Energy & Environment*, 34(8): 3529-3552.
- [15] Mavlutova I., Spilbergs A., Verdenhofs A., et al. (2023) The Role of Green Finance in Fostering the Sustainability of the Economy and Renewable Energy Supply: Recent Issues and Challenges [J]. *Energies*, 16(23).