

Analysis of Market Value of Consumer Electronics Companies in the U.S.: A Case Study of Apple Inc.

Jingyu Liu

*UCD Lochlann Quinn School of Business Singapore Campus, Wilkie Road, Singapore
jingyu2023@126.com*

Abstract. This paper investigates the evaluation of market value within the consumer electronics industry and mainly focuses on Apple Inc. as a case study. The process of market value evaluation in this research entails financial ratio analysis, accounting analysis, asset structure review, and analysis of brand and equity value. Apple's unique combination of strong brand identity, effective management of assets, and strong financial performance makes it a competitive firm with considerable market value. Apple exhibits robust profitability highlighted by high average profitability ratios. Its brand equity has significantly grown in 2024. Intrinsic valuation indicates a slight overvaluation with potential loss of 1.8% but with a long-term potential gain of 17.3%. Moreover, its share price has grown tremendously over the past decade, indicating investor's confidence in the company. The industry review confirms that U.S. consumer electronics firms now invest heavily in research and design, software platforms, and subscription services, so their balance sheets understate real value while their share prices reflect future growth from ecosystems. Overall, this study underlines that market value in this sector is guided mainly by expected innovation, cash-generating ability, and brand equity rather than by conventional measures of net assets. These conclusions help investors, managers, and policymakers understand why intangible resources should be monitored as closely as financial ratios.

Keywords: Market Value, Consumer Electronics, Brand Equity, Financial Analysis.

1. Introduction

In today's digital age, market value is no longer driven only by plant, property, equipment, and short-term earnings. Instead, investors also place emphasis on customer loyalty, brand reputation, and product-ecosystem design, especially in consumer electronics industry where the pace of product renewal is high. Value of firms in consumer electronics industry is not captured solely by balance sheets as traditional accounting treats most Research & Development (R&D) as expenditures rather than investments. However, existing research provide a detailed picture of how bookkeeping options, brand value, and cash-flow strategies interact with innovation driven sectors such as consumer electronics industry. This paper fills that gap by examining Apple Inc., the most

valuable brand in the world, whose success combines hardware engineering, software control, and service subscriptions within one closed ecosystem.

This research investigates how market value is determined in the U.S. consumer electronics industry by focusing on Apple Inc. as a case study. Apple Inc. is world's most valuable brand and performs significantly well financially and is an innovative leader within its niche. The firm provides a crucial perspective on key valuation determinants within this sector. The research methodology incorporates financial ratio analysis, discounted cash flows (DCF) and comparative valuation models, asset composition assessment, and brand equity estimation. The core objective of this study is to measure Apple's present market value against several intrinsic-value yardsticks and to identify which components of value best explain any premium over book value.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Market Value

The market value represents the consensus value of a company by investors based on its current financial standing as well as expected growth [1]. Historically, market value has been determined by the current price of a company per share multiplied by its shares outstanding. In industries that are driven by knowledge such as technology and consumer electronics, market value has increasingly evolved to involve non-financial factors such as brand reputation, customer loyalty, as well as innovation capabilities [2]. For instance, intangible strategic value made up as much as 90% of S&P 500 companies' total market value as of 2023 which was up from less than 20% during the 1970s [3].

2.2. Valuation Methodologies

There are several theoretical as well as practical models used for firm value assessment. One of the most used is the Discounted Cash Flow (DCF) model. The DCF model values the firm mainly based on the present value of the expected future cash flows which are discounted by an appropriate risk-adjusted rate [4]. This valuation method reflects the intrinsic value but is very sensitive to growth rate, discount rate and projections of cash flows and thus is not reliable when valuing volatile or innovative businesses.

Economic Value Added (EVA) is another powerful model and it focuses on value beyond the cost of capital for the firm. EVA is a measure of how much real value a company creates for its shareholders after covering its costs, including the cost of the capital. EVA is defined as net operating profit after tax minus the capital charge [5]. Companies that have good return on capital invested compared with their weighted average cost of capital tend to rank better with valuation, which indicates operating effectiveness as well as strategic asset allocation.

Key financial ratios such as price-to-earnings (P/E) ratio, price-to-sales (P/S) ratio, as well as enterprise value to EBITDA, Peter Lynch Fair Value and Dividend Discount Model are some of the widely used comparative valuation technics. These valuation methodologies enable relative valuation through benchmarking a firm's market relative to its peers [6]. However, analysts have widely documented the limitations of solely using stock market indicators [1].

2.3. Financial Indicators

Evaluating key ratios helps understand the ability of companies to sustain or improve their market value. Key financial indicators are the P/E ratio, the earnings per share (EPS), return on equity (ROE), free cash flow (FCF), dividend yield and the debt-to-equity ratio. The P/E ratio reflects expectations of investors on the company's growth potential, a higher P/E figure is often an indicator of investors' confidence on a company's growth potential [7]. EPS is the measure of profitability on a per-share basis and it reflects the net income per share outstanding. The return on equity (ROE) analyzes a company's efficiency of generating returns on shareholder capital and is very essential in measuring the effectiveness of its management. FCF is the operating cash flow excluding capital expenditures and it reflects the company's liquidity as well as financial flexibility [8]. Other financial indicators are dividend yield and payout ratio are also very important [9]. Another key financial ratio is the debt-to-equity ratio which is a measure of financial leverage.

2.4. Accounting Analysis Methods

Accounting principles have a deep impact on reported financial performance, hence influencing firm valuation as well as its investment choice. For instance, how research and development (R&D) spending, capital spending (CapEx) and intangible assets are treated plays a critical role in determining the earnings quality as well as balance sheet representation of highly innovative companies [8]. In the application of U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP), R&D expenses have to be expensed as incurred, as opposed to being capitalized as assets. This accounting can cause firms long-term investment ability to be underreported systematically, especially for technology driven where investments for R&D are central drivers of long-term growth [10].

On the other hand, capital expenditures are capitalized and depreciated over their lifetime which levels out the expense reporting over several periods [11]. Asset-light companies, especially the software and digital service companies, generally have low CapEx as a percentage of its revenues especially since most of their capital is intellectual as opposed to being physical capital [12]. Intangible assets such as patents, copyrights, customer databases and proprietary technologies make standard accounting analysis to be very complicated. Intangibles generated within the company are not normally shown on balance sheets unless arising from acquisitions, so book value is often dramatically different from market value for many knowledge-intensive companies [8].

2.5. Asset Structure

The nature of corporate capital has changed significantly over the recent decades, with intangible capital forming the majority of firm value in numerous industries such as technology, pharmaceutical and the media [12]. Intangibles Assets represent intellectual property, brand equity, software, data assets, organizational capital and customer relationships, all of which add value through competitive advantage as well financial performance [13]. Several studies in the past have highlighted the fact that intangible investments generate economies of scale, differentiation, and customer loyalty [8]. However, since most traditional accounting standards leaves out intangibles that are developed internally, investors have no choice but to rely on proxy indicators such as R&D expenditures, advertising expenditures, and number of patents in order to assess the value of a firm intangible assets.

The limitations of accounting models in capturing intangible value of a company prompted the increasing popularity of adjusted valuation models as well as market-based indicators. For example,

Tobin's Q which is the ratio of the market value-to-replacement cost ratio of assets, is usually greater than unity for intangible-intensive industries which reflects the disparities between book and market valuation strategies [14].

2.6. Brand Value

Brand equity is a strong intangible asset with the ability to add significant value to a company's market value by influencing the perception, loyalty, as well as the consumer behavior [15]. Strong brands help companies charge price premiums, incur lower costs of marketing through greater client retention, and create stability especially when there is an economic crisis [16]. Quantitative research has shown that there is a direct relationship between brand strength and financial performance. For instance, established that brand value can explain as much as 20% in variation of company valuation, especially for industries that deal with consumers such as electronics, apparel, and retail industries [15]. Companies with strong brand equity generally have greater margins, better return on assets (ROA), and higher bargaining power across their supply chains [17].

3. Overview of the Consumer Electronics Industry in United States

3.1. Development

The consumer electronics industry has undergone very significant evolution over the past five decades that is been marked by major technological shifts that have continuously reshaped market dynamics. Initially, the industry was dominated by personal computing innovations in the late 20th century with companies such as IBM, Hewlett-Packard (HP) being key players that lead to widespread adoption of desktop and laptop computing [11]. The transition from personal computing towards mobile computing began in the early 2000s and was mainly driven by advancements in mobile processors, battery technology and wireless connectivity [18].

The spread of smartphones popularized by early players such as BlackBerry and Nokia, followed by Apple's iPhone in 2007 shifted the purchasing behavior of consumers toward highly portable, multi-functional devices [19]. The mobile revolution further gained momentum from software ecosystems and app stores that integrated hardware and digital service into the mobile phones.

There is a new trend that have gained momentum over the past few years and mainly focuses on services and extensive ecosystems that locks users in individual devices. Apple, Samsung and Microsoft have all expanded into subscription businesses, cloud storage, wearables and, and are part of the broader shift within the industry toward recurring revenues and developing competitive edges that are platform based [16].

3.2. Market Value

The value of the global market for consumer electronics was around \$440 billion for 2023–2024 [6]. This figure is spread across a wide array of product segments such as smartphones, tablets, personal computers, wearables, smart home appliances and the new augmented reality (AR) and virtual reality (VR) technologies.

Segment specific dynamics have a substantial impact on the contribution of a given firm to the total market value. Despite reaching saturation within developed markets such as the United States, smartphones still account for a substantial share of revenues, driven by replacement cycles and the introduction of upmarket products [20]. Laptops and tablets retain their viability along with hybrid

work patterns, whereas new segments like smartwatches, fitness trackers, and wireless audio products have shown immense growth [21].

Cloud storage and media services are increasingly key drivers of firm valuation. Wearables and AR/VR projects, while still in emergent stages, are expected to generate great future growth, with the market value estimation of over \$50 billion by 2028 [21]. Companies that have established themselves within such fast-growing categories will likely experience disproportionate value creation within the coming ten years.

3.3. Competitive Landscape

The market for consumer electronics has always been characterized by fierce competition, rapid technological innovation and a highly concentrated market. For instance, In the smartphone market within the U.S., Apple leads with a share of around 55%, followed by Samsung with around 25%, while Google, Motorola, and other brands making up the remainder control the rest of the market [22].

There are substantial entry barriers for sectors characterized by high R&D investments, brand loyalty and economies of scale. The top players within the sector spend billions of dollars each year on R&D to support innovation as well as preserve differentiation over competitors. For example, R&D spending by the world's consumer electronics amounted to around \$120 billion by the year 2023, reflecting the technological demands of the sector [21]. Pricing strategies make the market even more complex. Established premium brands leverage strong brand equity to maintain higher margins, while new entrants often engage in price competition, particularly in the mid- and low-end market segments, to capture market share, frequently resorting to price competition [22].

3.4. Policy and Macroeconomic Environment

The consumer electronics market is characterized by a sophisticated policy and macroeconomic framework that is determined by regulation, fiscal, and other trade factors. In the U.S., anti-trust laws targeting big tech companies aim to address issues such as monopolistic behavior within app ecosystems, hardware lock-ins, as well as digital marketplaces [23]. Additionally, digital service taxation initiatives, mostly in the EU, impose additional compliance costs and possible expenses for united states consumer electronics companies [22].

Macroeconomic factors have their own considerable impact as well. Inflationary pressures, especially post-COVID, have pushed up labor costs, component prices, as well as logistics costs which has significantly reduced profit margins across the sector [21]. Shortages of semiconductors which was initially caused by the COVID-19 pandemic, are still impacting value chains, causing delayed product launches as well as inventory issues [20].

The volatile labor market further affects companies operating in the consumer electronics industry, notably the increasing domestically incurred labor costs as well as shortages of skilled workers in manufacturing and technology sectors. In reaction, companies have adopted strategies such as onshoring, nearshoring and vertical integration as a way of countering risks of wage inflation, supply chain disturbances, as well as geopolitical risks [9].

4. Case Study of Apple Inc.

Apple Inc.'s evolved from hardware innovation to ecosystem integration and service expansion. Apple's resurgence began with its 2001 release of the iPod which revolutionized the digital music

market and laid foundation for its future success [17]. Apple followed with the 2007 release of the iPhone which also revolutionized mobile computing by bringing together hardware, software and services under one platform [24]. Moreover, Apple extended its ecosystem by introducing iCloud in 2011, starting a shift toward cloud-enabled services. Throughout the 2010s, its expansion diversified further accelerated by the introduction of Apple Pay in 2014 and Apple TV+ in 2019 which further strengthened its subscription-based revenues [25].

A major technological milestone came with the Apple Silicon that was launch in 2020 which enabled vertical hardware and software integration thus enhancing performance efficiency [24]. These strategic changes contributed cumulatively to Apple's positive financial performance with the company registering a market capitalization of \$1 trillion in August 2018 which doubled to \$2 trillion by August 2020 [17] before reaching over \$3 trillion for a very short time in January 2022 [22].

4.1. Core Competency

Apple's competitive advantage is based on the lock-in strategy of its ecosystem that resulted from the smooth integration of iOS, macOS, iCloud, and the App Store. Interoperability creates higher switching costs for customers, promoting loyalty as well as repeat revenues [26]. Vertical integration further supports their business model with Apple controlling design of its hardware, software and increasingly, its fundamental silicon M1 and M2 chips thus maximizing its performance as well differentiating it from other players within the industry [24].

Additionally, Apple's control of the supply chain guarantees excellent product quality and reliable distribution around the world. Strategic supplier partnerships, just-in-time inventory management system, as well as emphasis on securing critical components such as custom chips shields apple from market disruptions [14].

4.2. Financial Analysis

4.2.1. Stock Performance and Financial Indicators

Apple's share performance captures the effect of significant events. The 2020 increase of 82.31% corresponds to the increased demand for electronic products amid COVID-19 and the release of Apple Silicon, beginning with the M1 chip which marked the end of reliance on Intel, this innovation sustained investor confidence throughout 2021. The iPhone 11 and 12 cycles also supported growth of the stock prices in 2019 and 2020 [18]. However, Market shocks or downturns in 2022 due to post covid supply shortages and the resulting uncertainties affected its share prices in 2022 leading to a 26.4% decline in share prices. The positive growth in 2023 was mainly driven by the stabilizing economies post pandemic and thus investment grew once more [26].

4.2.2. Accounting Analysis

Throughout FY 2020 to FY 2023, Apple has consistently shown strong profitability with its Return on Equity (ROE) having an impressive average of 132.13% and reaching a record high of 175.46% in FY 202 which indicates that Apple made an average of \$1.32 of profit for each dollar of their shareholders' equity. The Return on Assets (ROA) had an average of 18.65%, with the highest of 21.46% in FY 2023 which also reflects effectiveness in generating earnings from its assets. Additionally, the Return on Invested Capital (ROIC) had an average of 37.68%, with the highest

being 39.01% in FY 2021, reflecting the effectiveness of Apple's capital investments in generating income [22].

Looking at Apple's leverage and liquidity for the last five years, we can easily see its prudent financial handling. The Current Ratio had an average of 0.95 and ranges from a low of 0.88 in FY 2022 to a high of 1.08 in FY 2021. Similarly, the Quick Ratio has an average of 0.82, with a low of 0.71 in FY 2022 and a high of 0.91 in FY 2021. These metrics demonstrate a well-managed working capital, where current liabilities are offset by current assets [12]. Moreover, The Debt-to-Equity ratio had an average of 2.16, with a peak of 2.61 in FY 2022.

Apple's capital return strategy between FY 2020 to FY 2023 heavily relied on share repurchases. The average Buyback Yield of 4.12% is significantly higher than the average Dividend Yield of 0.62%. This reflects a preference for capital return by reducing the number of shares which contributes to the higher earnings per share as well as driving value of the stock. Price-to-Earnings (PE) ratio averaged 27.71 with a low of 24.22 in FY 2022 and a peak of 33.45 in FY 2020. The Price-to-Book (PB) ratio improved significantly averaging 42.17 with a peak of 47.71 for FY 2022 which indicates an increasing prime investor willing to pay for Apple's book value as well its brand strength [14].

4.2.3. Asset structure

Apple's tangible book value over the last five years has fluctuated significantly with an average of \$59.64 billion. Tangible book value decreased in 2020, 2021, 2022, before rising and roping again in 2024. Apples have comparatively low tangible asset ratio mainly due to its heavy investments in intangible assets such as intellectual property as well as brand recognition which are two of its main drivers of competitive that are not captured by tangible book value [8].

Apple's cash reserves ranged has declined from \$90.9 billion in 2020 to \$65.2 billion in 2024. Similarly, its current ratio went from 1.36 in 2020 down to 0.87 by 2024. Apple has large cash reserves, though its short-term liabilities are more than its short-term assets, thus they might not be able to cover their short time liabilities with current assets [15].

In the past fiscal years from 2019 to -2024, Apple's debt-to-equity ratio has consistently risen, from 2.74 up to a record high of 5.41 as of September 2024, which reflects its increasing reliance on debt financing. However, Apple has continually generated considerable free cash flow that has risen steadily over the last 6 years from \$58.90 billion in 2019 to an impressive \$108.81 billion in 2024.

4.2.4. Brand Equity and Valuation

Apple Inc. is slightly overvalued, with its market price of \$196.98 being higher than its estimated intrinsic value of \$193.48 using the DCF Growth Exit 5 Year model. This reflects a potential loss of around 1.8%. Other valuation models such as the Peter Lynch Fair Value as well as P/E ratios estimates even higher potential losses in the short-term suggesting that the current market price reflects much of the Apple's potential strengths. The long-term valuation model DCF Growth Exit model with a 10-year horizon suggests a potential return of 17.3%, making it an ideal choice for investors with a focus on long-term stability and patience [10]. Comparing to its peers, Apple's growth potential is relatively low compared to 41.2% for Alphabet, 6.9% for Amazon and 69% for HP. Table 1 lists the estimated intrinsic value under different valuation models.

Table 1: Apple Valuation Summary

Valuation Model	Value (\$)	Upside/Downside
DCF (Growth Exit 5Y)	193.48	-1.8%
DCF (Growth Exit 10Y)	230.99	+17.3%
Peter Lynch Fair Value	87.02	-55.8%
P/E Multiples	105.43	-46.5%
Dividend Discount Model	153.60	-22.0%

For the years 2019 to 2024, Apple's brand value has continuously grown, which has reinforced the company's powerful brand positioning within the technology sector as shown in Table 2. Apples brand equity has been continuously growing for the last decade is currently the most valuable brand. Apple's brand value grew from its value of \$309.5 billion in 2019 to \$352.2 billion in 2020. The brand value more than doubled between 2020 and 2021 where the value grew by 73.8% to an impressive \$612.0 billion. The consistent growth in Apple's brand value reflects its loyal customer base, as well as the success of its products and its service [1]. In 2022, the value continued its growth, reaching \$947.1 billion. However, in 2023, it witnessed a decline of 7% to a value of Apples of \$880.5 billion but managed to bounce back in 2024 with its brand value reaching a record of \$1.016 trillion.

Table 2: Apple Brand Value 2019-2023

Year	Brand Value (Billion \$)
2019	309.5
2020	352.2
2021	612.0
2022	947.1
2023	880.5

Through this research, it is apparent that market value in U.S. consumer electronics mainly driven by non-tangible assets such as brand value, innovation capabilities and customer loyalty more than financial performance alone. Focusing on Apple Inc. as an example, this research illustrates that despite low liquidity ratios and high debt levels, Apple has robust profitability with an average ROE of 132% average, ROA of 19% and high levels of free cash, which sustains investor confidence and long-term value in the market. Additionally, the analysis also found out that there is short-term overvaluation by a small margin but that the firm have a promising long-term value based on intrinsic valuation models. These results validate the conclusion that a company's innovative capabilities and strong brand value are better predictors of its value in tech-intense industries more than its tangible assets or financial performance alone.

5. Conclusion

We This study re-examined what drives market value in the U.S. consumer-electronics sector and used Apple Inc. as the central case. The evidence shows that classical metrics such as tangible book value or the current ratio still matter to investor but only explain a small fraction of equity valuation. Apple's high average ROE, immense free-cash-flow stream, and strong brand value speak louder

than its declining current ratio. Discounted-cash-flow tests suggest that the shares float slightly above intrinsic worth in the short term, yet a sizeable, long-run upside remains if service revenue keeps compounding at high-single-digit rates.

Accounting rules hide part of Apple's growth engine because they force immediate expensing of software and chip-design costs even though those costs create multi-year benefits. Investors therefore rely on alternative signals such as patent filings, developer-conference roadmaps, and ecosystem lock-in indicators when forming price expectations. Industry analysis confirms that the same pattern holds for most large U.S. consumer-electronics firms. The resulting intangible capital rarely appears on the balance sheet but dominates valuation.

The paper also highlights two looming challenges: tighter antitrust enforcement and fragile semiconductor supply. Either shock could trim operating margins unless Apple accelerates risk-diversification efforts. Even so, Apple's record of pivoting shows that management can adapt strategy faster than many rivals. As long as the firm renews its product lineup and keeps customer satisfaction high, its brand equity can protect market value against moderate financial shocks.

Future research can extend this single-firm study by running the same valuation framework for a cross-section of consumer-electronics makers and by testing whether environmental, social, and governance scores add predictive power for long-run returns. Another useful line of inquiry is the cost-of-capital impact of near-shoring chip production to the US, an issue that gains urgency under recent subsidy programs. For now, the case study of Apple confirms that in the knowledge era investors reward creativity, ecosystem depth, and reliable cash generation more than factory size or warehouse count. That insight helps students, analysts, and managers understand why modern balance sheets tell only half of the valuation story.

References

- [1] Garg, G. (2023). Innovators unleashed: Strategies for industry domination. Gaurav Garg.
- [2] Karima, M., & Ghazali, M. (2023). The effect of leverage, market value, and profitability on share prices. *Research of Finance and Banking*, 1(1), 1-12.
- [3] Sontchi, C. S. (2012). Valuation Methodologies: A Judge's View. *Am. Bankr. Inst. L. Rev.*, 20, 1.
- [4] Shapiro, E., Mackmin, D., & Sams, G. (2019). Modern methods of valuation. *Estates Gazette*.
- [5] Mazhara, G., & Drozd, A. (2018). Limitations of stock price valuation by classical methods: critics of their reliability and influence of behavioral finance.
- [6] Shih, G., Lakhani, P., & Nagy, P. (2010). Is android or iPhone the platform for innovation in imaging informatics. *Journal of Digital Imaging*, 23, 2-7.
- [7] Bahhouth, V., Maysami, R., & Gonzalez, R. (2014). Are financial measures leading indicators to firm performance? *International Journal of Business, Accounting & Finance*, 8(2).
- [8] Minniti, A., & Venturini, F. (2017). The long-run growth effects of R&D policy. *Research Policy*, 46(1), 316-326.
- [9] Li, W. C., & Hall, B. H. (2020). Depreciation of business R&D capital. *Review of Income and Wealth*, 66(1), 161-180.
- [10] Xu, J., & Li, J. (2022). The interrelationship between intellectual capital and firm performance: evidence from China's manufacturing sector. *Journal of intellectual capital*, 23(2), 313-341.
- [11] Gupta, M., & Bhasin, J. (2014). The relationship between intellectual capital and brand equity. *Management and Labour Studies*, 39(3), 329-339.
- [12] Leek, S., & Christodoulides, G. (2012). A framework of brand value in B2B markets: The contributing role of functional and emotional components. *Industrial marketing management*, 41(1), 106-114.
- [13] Parmentier, M. A., Fischer, E., & Reuber, A. R. (2013). Positioning person brands in established organizational fields. *Journal of the academy of marketing science*, 41, 373-387.
- [14] Smith, G., & Smith, M. (2024). A Case Study—Stocks. In *The Power of Modern Value Investing: Beyond Indexing, Algos, and Alpha* (pp. 119-131). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.

- [15] Li, J., Zeng, X., & Stevels, A. (2015). Ecodesign in consumer electronics: Past, present, and future. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 45(8), 840-860.
- [16] West, J., & Mace, M. (2010). Browsing as the killer app: Explaining the rapid success of Apple's iPhone. *Telecommunications Policy*, 34(5-6), 270-286.
- [17] Musella, L. (2023). The impact of Covid-19 on the supply chain: Review of the effects of a pandemic crisis on the global supply system and analysis of its fragilities.
- [18] IDC. (2024, February 23). Worldwide smartphone recovery is happening as shipments are forecast to grow 2.8% in 2024, according to IDC.
- [19] Statista. (2024). Consumer electronics in the U.S. - statistics & facts.
- [20] Consumer Electronics Test and Development. (2022). CTA Mid-Year Forecast Projects U.S. Technology Revenues to Grow 4.4% to \$527 billion in 2025. Retrieved from <https://www.cta.tech/press-releases/cta-mid-year-forecast-projects-us-technology-revenues-to-grow-44-to-527-billion-in-2025>
- [21] Counterpoint Research. (2024). US Smartphone Shipments Decline YoY for Sixth Consecutive Quarter. Retrieved from <https://www.counterpointresearch.com/insights/us-smartphone-market-q1-2024/>
- [22] Godwin-Jones, R. (2008). Mobile-computing trends: lighter, faster, smarter.
- [23] Mirzayev, A. (2023). Historical development of product innovation and innovation timeline of Apple Inc.
- [24] Damodaran, A. (2024). *The little book of valuation: How to value a company, pick a stock, and profit*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [25] Sun, W. (2021). The Changes of Apple's Stock Price During the Pandemic. In 2021 3rd International Conference on Economic Management and Cultural Industry (ICEMCI 2021) (pp. 373-376). Atlantis Press.
- [26] Grand View Research. (2024). U.S. Consumer Electronics Market Size & Outlook, 2024-2030.