Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Business and Policy Studies
DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/164/2025.20817

Green Bond Regulations in the UK: Practice and Challenges

Bing Liu[1,a,*]

[1]Law School, University of Exeter, Devon, EX4 4QJ, UK
a. 1421614301@qq.com
**corresponding author*

Abstract: This study explores the development and challenges of green bond regulations in the UK within the context of global sustainable finance. By conducting a qualitative analysis of policy papers, data reports and academic literature, the paper examines the regulatory architecture, market demand as well as the transparency manifested in the issuance of green bonds. The results spotlight remarkable accomplishments in the UK's green finance domain, which are propelled by policies, markets and technological advancements. Nevertheless, challenges like discrepant standards, transparency concerns and intense market competition have also come to the fore. In conclusion, the article pinpoints ways to mitigate the adverse effects of these shortcomings, thereby enhancing investor confidence and paving the way for sustainable growth.

Keywords: Green Bound, ESG, global market, legislation, transparency

1. Introduction

As global climate change and resource depletion and other issues become increasingly serious, green bonds, as an innovative financial instrument, support the rapid expansion of the global market size[1]. The rise of multiple economies, including the European Union, the United States and China, has not merely spurred the swift development of global green finance but also exerted greater competitive pressure on the UK.[2]. As one of the early countries to respond to the international call, the UK has not only provided policy support in promoting the development of green bonds, but also promoted the use of technology to alleviate environmental problems. However, problems such as inconsistent regulatory standards and insufficient transparency still restrict the comprehensive development of green bonds[3]. This paper will provide an in-depth analysis of the global market overview of green bonds, the UK's implementation initiatives in this area and the challenges it faces.

2. Implementation of green bonds in the UK

As a debt instrument to support the financing of green projects, the main objective of green bonds is to help governments achieve their climate policy goals[2]. The implementation of green bonds in the UK provides a unique opportunity to promote the development of green finance at home and abroad. This paper focuses on the following three aspects: the support of policies and regulations, the growth of market demand, and the interaction between technological innovation and sustainable finance. Multiple forces are driving the green transformation of the domestic economy and providing further opportunities for the UK to play a global role in sustainability endeavour.

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/164/2025.20817

2.1. Policy and regulatory support

Policies play a pivotal role in guaranteeing transparency and compliance within the green bond market. In order to align with international trends and standards, the British government has continuously promoted the integration of sustainable green finance into the core of its financial system. Additionally, the UK is deeply involved in international sustainable finance frameworks and institutions, such as the Global Reporting Initiative (GRI) and the Working Group on Climate-Related Financial Disclosure (TCFD)[4], to promote global financial transparency and sustainable development. The UK has also adopted the Green Bond Principles (GBP) framework developed by the International Capital Markets Association (ICMA) to guide its green finance practices[5]. The GBP ensures the commitment of bond issuers to environmental benefits, and helps investors to clearly understand the capital flow, which can reduce the risk borne by investors to a certain extent[6].

The London Stock Exchange, to ensure that green bonds are properly regulated, provides a list of the conditions that need to be met before a bond is considered "green", screening out truly environmentally friendly projects[7]. Moreover, the guidance published by the London Stock Exchange Group also provides important practical advice for ESG, helping companies clarify the information that investors seek regarding ESG[8].

In addition, in order to consolidate the UK's position in Green finance, the UK issued its first Sovereign Green Gilts in 2021 on the recommendation of the Green Finance Working Group[9]. The issue was the largest green bond issue in the world and marked a significant step forward in the promotion of green finance in the UK. As a result of this issuance, a large number of investors were successfully attracted, and total UK government debt grew to £2.3tn in 2021[10].

2.2. Growing market demand

The surging demand for green bonds can be ascribed to the escalating investor interest in ESG assets. With the popularization of SRI concept of socially responsible investment, the UK, as one of the first countries to respond to green bonds, continues to see rising investor demand for ESG assets. The key to long-term sustainable investing, which is different from traditional investment methods, lies in the range of assets to construct a portfolio, including ethical investment, impact investment and overseas socially responsible investment (SRI). Among them, pension funds are affected by climate risks and other issues, and their sustainable long-term returns are particularly important to investors' personal savings[11]. The UK has been a pioneer investor in the pension industry, having received legislative support from the Department of Social Security (DSS) back in 1995. The Pension Act was revised in 2008, and its consideration in ESG was subsequently published. The total assets of British pension funds and all retirement vehicles amounted to approximately $2.8 trillion in 2018, far exceeding those owned by European Union member states or the United States in the same year[11].

2.3. Interaction between technological innovation and sustainable finance

GB provides financial support for green projects, which not only helps to address environmental issues such as climate change but also advances the innovation and application of green technologies to a certain extent. The core objective of sustainable finance is to support green projects and promote the economic transition toward a low-carbon direction[12]. Therefore, the projects financed cover a wide range of fields such as renewable energy (wind, solar, etc.), clean technology, and circular economy.

For example, UK Climate Investments (UKCI) has signed an agreement with H1 Holdings for approximately £14 million (approximately 253 million South African Rand) to develop clean

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/164/2025.20817

energy projects in South Africa. The funds will support the development of the Kruisvallei Hydroelectric Project in the Free State Province and the Kangnas Wind Farm (140 MW) in the Northern Cape Province. Upon completion, these projects will provide clean power to meet the annual electricity needs of nearly 200,000 households. The partnership not only supports South Africa's transition to cleaner energy but also boosts the country's economy, especially in rural areas, and increases the participation of Black Economic Empowerment (BEE) entities in the renewable energy sector[13]. In addition, the UK government allocated £18 million in 2018 for clean electricity and support for green infrastructure[14], and is working to stop the production and sale of conventional gasoline and diesel vehicles by 2040 by encouraging investment in low-carbon vehicles[15].

3. Challenges facing UK green bonds

Since the green bond market was launched in 2010, the UK's green finance has witnessed remarkable achievements. However, inconsistent regulatory standards, a lack of transparency and the mounting pressure of international competition remain the principal impediments to its sustainable development.

3.1. Inconsistent regulatory standards

Although the development of green bonds in the UK has achieved remarkable results, some challenges remain. One prominent issue lies in the absence of a unified definition of green bonds both within the UK and on a global scale[16]. This is despite UK financial institutions adopting the GBP, which aims to ensure transparency, integrity and environmental benefits of green bonds, and the principle being endorsed by ICMA. But the GBP, like other financial frameworks, is based on voluntary principles[17]. The absence of legal mandatory requirements means that there may be inconsistent practices in the issuance of green bonds, and the GBP cannot enforce whether projects genuinely meet environmental sustainability criteria, raising questions about the actual environmental impact of some green bonds[18].

Moreover, differences in standards across countries can affect cross-border investment. Taking the EU green bond framework as an example, the EU Taxonomy is a regulatory tool that is classified according to six environmental objectives[19]. While the core objective of both financial frameworks is to drive financial flows to projects that are environmentally sustainable, unlike the UK's GBP, the EU Taxonomy of institutions subject to the SFDR is not limited to climate change[19]. Therefore, when the UK conducts unilateral or multilateral project cooperation with EU member states, the difference in international certification standards may lead to inconsistent evaluation standards, resulting in some bonds in the market being certified by a third party with insignificant actual environmental benefits.

3.2. Lack of transparency

Although the UK's Green Bond Principles place emphasis on enhancing the transparency of green bonds[20], the UK green bond market still has some shortcomings in terms of transparency, which may lead to the occurrence of greenwashing. One major issue is the inadequate quantification and reporting of environmental benefits. The FSB task force on Climate-related financial Disclosures found that only a fraction of companies quantify the environmental benefits of their projects[21]. Such behavior of the company will cause investors to take more risks because they cannot conduct a comprehensive analysis of the project.

Furthermore, some firms use their discretion to selectively disclose those aspects that benefit the firm's project, while deliberately hiding possible flaws in the project[22]. For example, the British

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/164/2025.20817

oil company BP plc responded to the 2010 oil spill in the Gulf of Mexico[23]. In its annual sustainability report, BP only briefly mentioned the impact of the accident but focused extensively on its environmental programs and achievements. BP tried to deflect public attention from the oil spill by demonstrating environmental achievements in other areas, thereby alleviating investor distrust and negative sentiment toward the company. This lack of information transparency fosters an environment conducive to greenwashing. Bodellini, Marco defined "greenwashing" as companies misleading the public and investors by exaggerating or falsely promoting their environmental and social responsibilities, gaining public trust and more financing opportunities[24]. However, greenwashing behavior will lead consumers to buy misleading financial products, thus reducing investors' trust in green bonds.

3.3. Global market competitive pressure

As one of the world’s largest economies, the UK government is also creating various opportunities for the development of green finance[25]. Historically, it occupied a significant proportion of the market leader in green finance, although Claire Perry (UK Secretary of State for Energy and Clean Growth) and John Glen (Economic Secretary to the Treasury) have pointed out that the UK has great potential in green finance[26]. Sustainable finance remained popular with a large number of consumers in the UK until 2018, and circulation did rise sharply. However, global green bond issuance in the first quarter of 2018 reached $29 billion, while the UK's contribution was only $2.7 billion—representing just 2.5% of the total. In contrast, the United States, China, France and Germany showed rapid growth. Industrial and Commercial Bank of China was the second-largest investor[27], selling $9.6bn worth of green bonds, while Credit Agricole CIB of France sold $6bn[28]. Moreover, the Committee on Climate Change noted in 2019 that the UK would have to spend £22bn a year to meet its fifth carbon budget, which is a significant sum for government revenues and expenditures[29]. Therefore, as green financial centers continue to emerge globally, the market share of the UK has been continuously compressed, and the pressure it faces is self-evident.

4. Recommendations and suggestions

Firstly, it is recommended that the British government formulate provisions to coordinate enforcement actions at the international level. We can learn from the legal framework of other countries and strengthen legislation to avoid the issuance of green bonds that do not meet the standards. The UK can learn from the experience of other countries and regulate the green bond market through legislation to avoid the issuance of bonds that do not meet the standards. For example, China has provided a legal basis for the long-term development of green finance through the Catalogue of Projects Supported by Green Bonds and the Guidelines on the Issuance of Green Bonds. Also, France has adopted the Green Bond Law and the Energy Transition to Promote Green Growth Law of 2015 to clarify the conditions for green bond issuance and environmental protection objectives and regulate green financing behaviors respectively[30]. More importantly, strict and specific legislation can not only ensure the rationality of green financing projects, but also re-establish the confidence of investors, so that more financing opportunities can be obtained. Secondly, in order to alleviate the pressure of competition in the international market, the UK can strengthen cross-border cooperation, support the mutual recognition of green finance frameworks in the world, and help promote the unified standards of the global green bond market. A clearer definition is the conditions under which green bonds are consistently applied, according to a statement from Price Water Cooper[31]. Because clear definitions help reduce uncertainty in the

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/164/2025.20817

market and ensure that funding actually goes to those projects that are consistent with the SDGS[32].

Another proposal is that the UK's green bond industry ought to enhance its transparency through mandatory verification and third-party certification.. Bachelet and Maria Jua consider third-party certification as one of two criteria for certifying green bonds[33]. Moreover, external review can ensure the transparency and accountability of enterprises in the implementation of ESG policies, because the lack of information disclosure will inevitably hinder the development of green bonds, whether it is malicious or unconscious withholding of information. If the UK financial markets could implement this standardised external review, it would be able to further enhance market confidence and drive market growth, ensure capital flows to finance the transition to a low-carbon economy, and provide more assurance for green investment projects recognised by investors[34].

Additionally, enterprises need to be subject to independent third-party review on a regular basis. As scholars Stracqualursi[35] and Lashitew and Addisu A argue[36], those enterprises that are unwilling to conduct third-party certification or audit are less reliable in their reports. Investors need to be extra cautious about whether the projects they hold are environmentally friendly. At the same time, for those enterprises that have not obtained independent audit certification, their declared environmental benefits cannot be fully certified, which can reduce the risk of greenwashing to a certain extent.

5. Conclusion

This paper is aimed at examining the practices and challenges within the UK green bond market. The UK provides a more detailed description in the face of global environmental issues. It joins international organizations and adopts international green finance frameworks to offer policy support for sustainable economic development. Apparently, the UK has adopted numerous measures to boost the green bond market. Active policy guidance furnishes the public with more ESG information. Meanwhile, the interaction between market demand and science and technology safeguards renewable resources, which benefits not only the UK economy but also promotes the development of other countries. Whether Britain is now one of the world's leading green investors or not is debatable. This is due to the fact that in the absence of current legislative regulations, the UK's share in the global green finance market is actually being squeezed by other economies. In addition, the lack of transparency is also a major obstacle to the development of green finance in the UK. Consequently, this paper proposes that for the sake of the rapid development of the UK green bond market, it is essential to enact domestic legislation specifically governing green bonds instead of constantly relying on the framework of "voluntary principles". This not only reduces the mix of green projects, but also helps build investor confidence. In addition, the enhancement of information transparency with the help of the third party is also expected by the society, and the reduction of greenwashing problems can also promote the healthy development of the green finance market.

References

*[1] S. M. Maimbo, *Roadmap for a sustainable financial system* (3rd annual global report, p. 14), UN Environment Inquiry, 2017.*

*[2] T. Ehlers and F. Packer, "Green bond finance and certification," *BIS Quarterly Review*, p. 90, Sep. 2017.*

*[3] Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), *Green bonds: Country experiences, barriers and options*, G20 Green Finance Study Group, pp. 26–28, 2016.*

*[4] Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), *Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures*, 2023.*

*[5] University of Greenwich, *Finance and climate change: A progressive green finance strategy for the UK*, p. 15, 2022.*

DOI: 10.54254/2754-1169/164/2025.20817

[6] International Capital Market Association, *Green bond principles: Voluntary process guidelines for issuing green bonds*, June 2021.
[7] London Stock Exchange, *Green bonds: Listing process*, London Stock Exchange, n.d.
[8] London Stock Exchange, *Your guide to ESG reporting*, London Stock Exchange, 2018.
[9] Oxford Institute for Energy Studies, *Financing the energy transition: The role, opportunities and challenges of green bonds*, 2022.
[10] S. Kukovič and I. Radević, "Challenges in mobilising green finance for local development," in *Contemporary pathways of European local and regional development*, p. 151, 2024.
[11] I. Owadally, J.-R. Mwizere, N. Kalidas, K. Murugesu, and M. Kashif, "Long-term sustainable investment for retirement," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 9, p. 2, 2021.
[12] M. Carney, F. Villeroy de Galhau, and F. Elderson, *Open letter on climate-related financial risks*, Bank of England, Apr. 2019.
[13] Green Investment Group, *UK Climate Investments supports development of 254MW of clean energy with ~£14 million (R253 million) agreement in South Africa*, Green Investment Group, 2019.
[14] HM Government, *A green future: Our 25 year plan to improve the environment*, HM Government, 2018.
[15] HM Government and Industrial Strategy, *The road to zero: Next steps towards cleaner road transport and delivering our industrial strategy*, Department for Transport, p. 14, 2018.
[16] C. Nedopil, T. Dordi, and O. Weber, "The nature of global green finance standards—Evolution, differences, and three models," *Sustainability*, vol. 13, no. 7, p. 3723, 2021.
[17] A. Hardenbrook, "The Equator Principles: The private financial sector's attempt at environmental responsibility," *Vanderbilt Journal of Transnational Law*, vol. 40, p. 197, 2007.
[18] T. C. de Lucena Barreiro, "An analysis of the green bond regulatory landscape: Opportunities and outlook," 2023.
[19] D. Dam-de Jong and F. Amtenbrink, Eds., *Netherlands yearbook of international law 2021: A greener international law—International legal responses to the global environmental crisis*, 1st ed., p. 204, T.M.C. Asser Press, 2023.
[20] International Capital Market Association (ICMA), *Green bond principles: Voluntary process guidelines for issuing green bonds*, International Capital Market Association, 2018.
[21] Task Force on Climate-related Financial Disclosures (TCFD), *Task force on climate-related financial disclosures: 2019 status report*, Financial Stability Board, p. 4, 2019.
[22] K. Allen, "Disclosure is a lure for green bond investors," *FT.com*, 2019.
[23] M. P. Arora and S. Lodhia, "The BP Gulf of Mexico oil spill: Exploring the link between social and environmental disclosures and reputation risk management," *Journal of Cleaner Production*, vol. 140, p. 1287, 2017.
[24] M. Bodellini, "Greenwashing and the misapplication of articles 8 and 9 of the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation," *ERA-Forum*, vol. 24, no. 1, p. 39, 2023.
[25] Green Finance Initiative, *Fifteen steps to green finance: A discussion paper from the UK Green Finance Initiative*, p. 20, Green Finance Initiative, 2017.
[26] Government Actuary's Department, *Investment news: Monthly bulletin from the insurance & investment team*, Government Actuary's Department, August 2018.
[27] C. Kaminker, "The green bond," *Climate and Sustainable Finance, SEB*, Special Edition: Scenarios for 2019, p. 2, 2018.
[28] Climate Bonds Initiative, *The green bond market in Europe 2018*, p. 2, Sponsored by White & Case, 2018.
[29] P. Ekins, *Costs and benefits of net-zero advisory group*, Report to the Committee on Climate Change, p. 13, 2019.
[30] Ministry of Environment, Energy and the Sea, *Energy transition for green growth act in action*, p. 25, 2016.
[31] J. McCahey, "Response to proposal for an EU Green Bond Standard," 2019.
[32] T. Ehlers and F. Packer, "Green bond finance and certification," *BIS Quarterly Review*, p. 89, September 17, 2017.
[33] M. J. Bachelet, L. Becchetti, and S. Manfredonia, "The green bonds premium puzzle: The role of issuer characteristics and third-party verification," *Sustainability*, vol. 11, no. 4, p. 1098, 2019. doi: https://doi.org/10.3390/su11041098.
[34] W. Bartels, P. Holland, and T. Metzgen, *Gearing up for green bonds*, KPMG's Global Center, 2015.
[35] M. Stracqualursi, "The rise of the public benefit corporation: Considerations for start-ups," *BC Law Lab Blog*, 2017.
[36] A. A. Lashitew, "Corporate uptake of the Sustainable Development Goals: Mere greenwashing or an advent of institutional change?," *Journal of International Business Policy*, vol. 4, no. 1, p. 187, 2021.